arXiv:1606.06749v5 [math.FA] 10 Feb 2017

On a Whitney-type problem for weighted Sobolev spaces on d-thick closed sets*

A. I. Tyulenev† and S. K. Vodop'yanov‡

UDC 517.518

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a closed set such that for some $d \in [0,n]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ the d-Hausdorff content satisfies $\mathcal{H}^d_\infty(S \bigcap Q(x,r)) \geq \varepsilon r^d$ for all cubes $Q(x,r)$ centered in S with diameter $0 < r \leq 1$. For every $p \in (1,\infty)$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $W^m_p(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma)$ the Sobolev space with Muckenhoupt weight $\gamma \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For every $p \in (\max\{1,n-d\},\infty)$, $q \in (\max\{1,n-d\},p]$, $\gamma \in A_{\frac{p}{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we give an intrinsic characterization of the restrictions $\{D^\alpha F|_S : |\alpha| \leq m-1\}$ to S of the $(m-1)$-jets of the functions $F \in W^m_p(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma)$. In particular, for $p > n-1$ we characterize the trace space of the classical Sobolev space $W^1_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to the closure $\overline{\Omega}$ of any open path-connected set Ω. Our results extend those available for $p \in (n,\infty)$ without restrictions on S and for $p \in (1,\infty)$ with much more stringent restrictions on S.

1 Introduction

For $m,n \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the linear space of functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with continuous partial derivatives through order m equipped with the seminorm

$$\|F|C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)\| := \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha F|C(\mathbb{R}^n)\|.$$

The classical extension problem posed by H. Whitney in 1934 in his famous papers [57, 58] reads as follows:

*This work is supported by the Russian Science Foundation under grant 14-50-00005.

†Steklov Mathematical Institute of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow. E-mail: tyulenev-math@yandex.ru, tyulenev@mi.ras.ru

‡Sobolev Institute of Mathematics of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk. E-mail: vodopis@math.nsc.ru

Problem A. *For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, how can we tell whether a given function f defined on a closed subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ extends to a function of class $C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$?*

For a closed subset S of $\mathbb{R}^n$, denote by $C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)|_S$ the trace space of the space $C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and endow it with the quotient space seminorm. Namely, put

$$\|f|C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)|_S\| := \inf \|F|C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)\|,$$

where the infimum is over all possible extensions $F \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of the function f (that is, $F(x) = f(x)$ for every $x \in S$).

Problem **A** is closely related to the problem of constructing a bounded linear extension operator from the corresponding trace space. More precisely, consider the following problem.

Problem B. *For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a closed subset S of $\mathbb{R}^n$, does there exist a bounded operator* $\operatorname{Ext} : C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)|_S \to C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *linearly depending on f which is an extension operator in the sense that* $\operatorname{Ext}[f]|_S(x) = f(x)$ *for every $x \in S$?*

H. Whitney solved Problem **A** in the case $n = 1$ and $m \geq 1$ [58] as well as analogs of Problems **A** and **B** for $C^{0,1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces in the case $n \geq 1$ [57].

It turns out that Problems **A** and **B** are extremely difficult in the case $n > 1$ and $m > 1$ unless we impose additional restrictions on the set S or the smoothness parameter m.

In the decades since Whitney's seminal work, fundamental progress was made by G. Glaeser [24], Y. Brudnyi and P. Shvartsman [3]–[5], E. Bierstone, P. Milman, and W. Pawlucki [41].

Finally, C. Fefferman completely solved Problems **A** and **B**, as well as some other related problems, in [12]–[18].

Henceforth $D^\alpha F \in L_1^{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where α is a multi-index, stands for the distributional (Sobolev) partial derivative of a function $F \in L_1^{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of order $|\alpha|$. Assume also that $D^0 F := F$.

Take $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. For an open set $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, denote by $W_p^m(G)$ the Sobolev space of all (equivalence classes of) real-valued functions $F \in L_p(G)$ whose distributional partial derivatives on G of all orders through m belong to $L_p(G)$. Equip this space with the norm

$$\|F|W_p^m(G)\| := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D^\alpha F|L_p(G)\|. \tag{1.1}$$

Similarly, we can define the homogeneous Sobolev space $L_p^m(G)$, the seminorm in which is determined by adding up the L_p-norms of the generalized partial derivatives of order exactly $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Say that $F \in W_p^{m,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $F \in W_p^m(\operatorname{int} Q)$ for every cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Analogs of Problems **A** and **B** can be posed in the context of the Sobolev space $W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and even more complicated Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces. Many articles address these problems.

Let us just mention [8], [9], [19]–[22], [23], [25], [26], [28], [29], [30], [31]–[34], [35], [36], [37], [38], [42]–[44], [45], [46]–[52], [55], [59], [60] (see also the references there). The reader can also consult the books [39] and [40], which gathered many results related to the problem of traces for Sobolev spaces and their applications.

Avoiding a detailed review of the available results, we note only that in the context of Sobolev spaces $W^m_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ($L^m_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$) the analogs of Problems **A** and **B** have been solved either under the condition $p > n$ without restrictions on the closed set S or for all $p \in (1, \infty]$ under significant restrictions on S. In particular, the articles cited above avoid the case that $p \in (n-1, n]$ and $m = 1$, while S is the closure of an arbitrary domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

One of the goals of this article is to state correctly and solve Problems **A** and **B** for the first-order Sobolev spaces $W^1_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the case that $p > n-1$ and S is the closure of an arbitrary domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

However, the analogs of these problems for the spaces $W^m_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $m, n > 1$ and $1 < p \le n$ under the minimal possible restrictions on S are exceedingly complicated; we avoid them here.

A simpler problem in the case $n, m \ge 1$ is to characterize the traces of functions $F \in W^m_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ together with the traces of all partial derivatives $D^\alpha F$ of order below m to a prescribed set S. Let us proceed to more precise statements.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, denote by $\mathcal{P}_m$ the set of all polynomials of degree at most m. For $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, denote by $\boldsymbol{P_m} = \{P_{m,x} : x \in S\}$ a family of polynomials of degree at most m indexed by the points of a given closed set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (Thus $P_{m,x} \in \mathcal{P}_m$ for every $x \in S$). We refer to $\boldsymbol{P_m}$ as a Whitney m-field defined on S. By $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}_m}$ we denote the linear space of all Whitney m-fields $\boldsymbol{P_m}$ defined on S.

Below it is convenient to express the polynomials $P_{m,x}$ for $x \in S$ in a Whitney m-field as

$$P_{m,x}(y) := \sum_{|\beta| \le m} \frac{(y-x)^\beta}{\beta!} f_\beta(x), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Thus, for each Whitney m-field there is a set $\{f_\beta\}_{|\beta| \le m}$ of functions on S, which we call the *coefficients* of $\boldsymbol{P_m}$. Assume that they are extended by zero outside S. In what follows, $f := f_0$.

Given a Whitney m-field $\boldsymbol{P_m} = \{P_{m,x} : x \in S\}$ on a closed set S, for $|\beta| \le m$ put $D^\beta \boldsymbol{P_m} := \{D^\beta_y P_{m,x} : x \in S\}$. It is clear that $D^\beta \boldsymbol{P_m}$ is a Whitney $(m - |\beta|)$-field on S.

For a function $F \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, denote by

$$T_{m,x}[F](y) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} D^\alpha F(x)(y-x)^\alpha, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

the degree m Taylor polynomial of F at x.

Recall the statement of the classical Whitney–Glaeser extension theorem [57, 24]: *For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, take a closed subset S of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}} = \{P_{m-1,x} : x \in S\}$ on S. There exists a C^{m-1}-function $F \in L^m_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $T_{m-1,x}[F] = P_{m-1,x}$ for every $x \in S$ if and only if*

$$\sup_{x,z\in S, x\neq z} \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m-1} \frac{\left|D^\alpha_y P_{x,m-1}(y)|_{y=x} - D^\alpha_y P_{z,m-1}(y)|_{y=x}\right|}{\|x-z\|^{m-|\alpha|}} < \infty, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\|x - z\|$ is the Euclidean norm of $x - z \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Recall that, by the Sobolev embedding theorem, every function $F \in L^m_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, where $p > n$, can be redefined, if necessary, on a set of Lebesgue measure zero so that the new function belongs to the space $C^{m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [39], p. 73, for instance). Thus, for $p > n$ we can identify each element $F \in L^m_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with its unique C^{m-1}-representative on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Recently P. Shvartsman [51] posed and completely solved the following problem.

Problem C. *Take $p > n$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, as well as a closed subset S of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Suppose that for each point $x \in S$ we are given a polynomial $P_{x,m-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{m-1}$. How can we decide whether there exists a function F in $L^m_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $W^m_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $T_{m-1,x}[F] = P_{x,m-1}$ for all $x \in S$? Consider the L^m_p-seminorms or W^m_p-norms of all functions F in $L^m_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ or $W^m_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying the condition. How small can these seminorms (norms) be?*

Unfortunately, the Sobolev embedding theorem fails for $1 < p \leq n$. In particular, for $p \in (1, n]$ we can construct a function $F \in W^1_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which is essentially unbounded in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of each point of $\mathbb{R}^n$ (see Section 6.3 of [54]). For this reason, for $p \in (1, n]$ we cannot define the trace of a function $F \in W^1_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ on an arbitrary set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ as a simple restriction of F to S. Consequently, Problem **C** does not extend directly to $p \in (1, n]$.

Below we briefly describe the basic notions of geometric measure theory. For a detailed exposition, see Ch. 5, Section 1 of [1].

Take $0 \leq d \leq n$, a subset S of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and $\delta \in (0, +\infty]$. Consider the set function

$$\mathcal{H}^d_\delta(S) = \inf \sum_j r_j^d$$

where the infimum is over all countable coverings of S by balls $B(x_j, r_j)$ with arbitrary centers x_j and $\sup r_j < \delta$. The *d-Hausdorff content* of a set S is defined as $\mathcal{H}^d_\infty(S)$. The *d-Hausdorff measure* of a set S is defined as

$$\mathcal{H}^d(S) := \lim_{\delta\to 0} \mathcal{H}^d_\delta(S).$$

It can be shown that

$$\sup\{d : \mathcal{H}^d(S) = +\infty\} = \inf\{d' : \mathcal{H}^{d'}(S) = 0\}$$

for every $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$; this number is called the *Hausdorff dimension* of S and denoted by $\dim_H S$.

As we noted above, we cannot evaluate an arbitrary function $F \in W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ at a given point. Nevertheless, for each function there exists a sufficiently large set at whose points it can be defined. More exactly, the following statement holds.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in (1, \infty]$, and $d > n - q$. Then for every $F \in W_q^{m,loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\mathcal{H}^d(E) = 0$ such that, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$ the limit*

$$F_\alpha(x) = \lim_{r \to +0} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} D^\alpha F(y)\, dy, \quad |\alpha| \le m-1,$$

exists and is finite. Moreover, for every point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$ we have

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{r^{1-m}}{|Q(x,r)|} \sup_{z \in Q(x,r)} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)} \Big| T_{m-1,y}[F](z) - \sum_{|\alpha| \le m-1} F_\alpha(x) \frac{(z-x)^\alpha}{\alpha!} \Big|\, dy = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

Proof. The first part is a simple corollary of Theorems 2.6.16, 3.4.2 of [61] (for $q \in (1, n]$) and the Sobolev embedding theorem (for $q > n$). It is more convenient for us to establish (1.3) in Remark 4.2 after the proof of Lemma 4.4.

Theorem 1.1 makes the following definition meaningful.

Definition 1.1. Take a closed set S with $\dim_H S \ge d$, where $d \in [0, n]$. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ take $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ be a Whitney $(m-1)$-field on S. A function $F \in W_q^{m,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $q \in (\max\{1, n-d\}, \infty]$ is said to d-agree with a Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S whenever

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{1}{|Q(x,r)|} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)} \Big| (D_y^\alpha P_{m-1,x})(y)|_{y=x} - D^\alpha F(z) \Big|\, dz = 0, \quad x \in S', \tag{1.4}$$

for some $S' \subset S$ with $\mathcal{H}^d(S \setminus S') = 0$ and every α with $|\alpha| \le m-1$. In that case we also refer to $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ as the Whitney m-field on S d-generated by F or as the m-jet d-generated by F.

Remark 1.1. Definition 1.1 naturally generalizes analogues concept from the paper [51]. Namely, a function $F \in W_q^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $q \in (n, \infty]$ agrees with a Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S in the sense of [51] if and only if this function 0-agrees with the field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S in the sense of Definition 1.1.

Now we are ready to state an analog of Problem **C** for the Sobolev spaces $W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in (1, \infty]$.

Problem D. *Fix two numbers $d \in [0, n]$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a closed set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $\dim_H S \ge d$. Suppose that for each $x \in S$ we are given a polynomial $P_{x,m-1} \in \mathcal{P}_{m-1}$.*

For every $p \in (\max\{1, n-d\}, \infty]$ we need to find conditions on the family $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}} = \{P_{x,m-1}, x \in S\}$ necessary and sufficient for the existence of a function $F \in W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which d-agrees with the Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S.

By Remark 1.1, for $d = 0$ the statement of Problem **D** actually coincides with that of Problem **C**.

Definition 1.2. Take $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a closed set S with $\dim_H S \geq d$, where $d \in [0, n]$, and $p \in (\max\{1, n-d\}, \infty]$. Consider the linear space $\mathcal{J}(W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n))|_S$ of all Whitney $(m-1)$-fields on S d-generated by the functions $F \in W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Equip $\mathcal{J}(W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n))|_S$ with the norm

$$\|\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}|\mathcal{J}(W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n))|_S\| = \inf \|F|W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)\|,$$

where the infimum is over all functions $F \in W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which d-agree with $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S.

Problem **D** is closely related to the following problem.

Problem E. *Fix two numbers $d \in [0, n]$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a closed set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension $\dim_H S \geq d$, and the parameter $p \in (\max\{1, n-d\}, \infty]$. Does there exist a bounded linear operator*

$$\mathrm{Ext} : \mathcal{J}(W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n))|_S \to W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

such that for every Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}} := \{P_{m-1,x}, x \in S\} \in \mathcal{J}(W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n))|_S$ the function $\mathrm{Ext}(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})$ d-agrees with $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S?

Remark 1.2. Obviously, for $m = 1$ it is natural to identify the family of polynomials $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}} := \{P_{0,x}, x \in S\}$ with the function $f : S \to \mathbb{R}$. In this case Problems **D** and **E** are just natural generalizations of Problems **A** and **B** to the context of the first-order Sobolev spaces $W_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

In this article we consider Problems **D** and **E** under certain additional restrictions on S. Namely, for each $d \in [0, n]$ we work with d-thick sets (see Definition 2.2 below). The class of d-thick sets is quite large. For instance, every open path-connected set is 1-thick, every Ahlfors d-regular set is d-thick. In addition, every set is 0-thick. Consequently, our results naturally extend the results available in the literature.

Note that Rychkov [42] considered d-thick sets in connection with the problem of existence of a bounded linear extension operator in the context of Besov spaces $B_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and Triebel–Lizorkin spaces $F_{p,q}^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Recall that $W_p^m = F_{p,2}^m$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. However, in the case $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ in [42] this extension problem is only considered for d-thick sets with $d > n-1$. In particular, in [42] problem **E** is not solved for the first-order Sobolev spaces in the case that $p > n-1$ and S is the closure of an arbitrary domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Let us say a few words on the structure of this article. Section 2 gathers the standard definitions, notation, and auxiliary lemmas often used below. In Section 3 we obtain a solution of Problems **D**

and **E** (in the case of d-thick sets) firstly for the first-order Sobolev space(see Theorem 3.2). This is done for the reader's convenience. Indeed, the case $m = 1$ is the most lucid conceptually. We can reach key methods and constructions rather quickly without burdening the exposition with excessive technical details. Following that, in Section 4 we present a solution to these problems in general, that is, for $m \geq 1$ (see Theorem 4.1). This requires a repetition of some ideas of Section 3. Finally, in Section 5 we show how the arguments of Sections 3 and 4 easily generalize to the weighted case.

2 Auxiliary results

Our purpose in this section is to collect the required auxiliary material.

Denote by $Q = Q(x, r)$ the closed cube in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ centered at x of side length $r \geq 0$ with the edges parallel to the coordinate axes. In other words, $Q(x, r) := \prod_{i=1}^{n} [x_i - \frac{r}{2}, x_i + \frac{r}{2}]$. Occasionally we denote the center of Q by x_Q and its side length by r_Q.

Throughout, $B(x, r)$ stands for the open ball centered at x of radius $r > 0$.

For $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, denote by $\overline{E}$ and $\operatorname{int} E$ the closure and interior of E in the topology induced by the standard Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Denote the classical n-dimensional Lebesgue measure of a Lebesgue measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ by $|A|$.

For $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\delta > 0$, define the δ-neighbourhood of A as $U_\delta(A) := \bigcup_{x \in A} B_\delta(x)$.

Following [46], we measure distances in $\mathbb{R}^n$ in the uniform norm

$$|x| = |x|_\infty := \max\{|x_i| : i = 1, .., n\}, \quad x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Given two subsets $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, put

$$\operatorname{diam} A := \sup\{|a - a'|_\infty : a, a' \in A\},$$

$$\operatorname{dist}(A, B) := \inf\{|a - b|_\infty : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

By a weight we mean a measurable function which is positive almost everywhere. For $p \in [1, \infty]$ and a (Lebesgue) measurable set A, denote by $L_p(A, \gamma)$ the linear space of all locally integrable functions f on A, identifying the functions which differ on a nullset with respect to the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure, equipped with the norm

$$\|f|L_p(\gamma)\| := \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \gamma(x)|f(x)|^p \, dx\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}};$$

in the case $p = \infty$ use the essential infimum instead of the integral.

In the case $\gamma \equiv 1$, write $L_p(A)$ instead of $L_p(A, 1)$.

Assume that $p \in [1, \infty]$. Say that $f \in L_p^{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $f \in L_p(B(x, r))$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$.

Definition 2.1. Assume that $p \in [1, \infty)$. A weight γ is said to lie in the Muckenhoupt class $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ whenever

$$\Big(\int\limits_{B(x,r)} \gamma(x)\, dx \Big) \Big(\int\limits_{B(x,r)} \gamma^{\frac{-p'}{p}}(x)\, dx \Big)^{\frac{p}{p'}} \le C |B(x, r)|^p \tag{2.1}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, with standard modifications in the case $p = 1$, where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of x and r.

Remark 2.1. The weight class $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has many remarkable properties (see [53], Ch. 5 for a detailed exposition). Here we mention only one property particularly important for us. If $\gamma \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, with $p \in [1, \infty)$, then the estimate

$$\int\limits_U \gamma(x)\, dx \le C \int\limits_Q \gamma(x)\, dx \tag{2.2}$$

holds for all $c \in (0, 1)$, every cube Q, and every subset U of Q with $|U| \ge c|Q|$, where the constant $C > 0$ depends only on c and γ.

As an easy consequence of (2.2), we obtain

$$\int\limits_Q \gamma(x)\, dx \le C \int\limits_{Q'} \gamma(y)\, dy \tag{2.3}$$

for all cubes Q and Q' of equal side lengths lying at a distance at most $r(Q)$ from each other. Here, the constant $C > 0$ depends only on the weight γ and is independent of Q and Q'.

For a function $f \in L_1^{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, put

$$M[f](x) := \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int\limits_{B(x,r)} |f(y)|\, dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $p \in (1, \infty)$. A weight γ lies in the class $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if M is a bounded operator from $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma)$ into $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma)$.*

A **proof** may be found in [53], Ch. 5, § 3, Theorem 1.

For $p \in [1, \infty]$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, as well as a weight γ, denote by $W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma)$ the weighted Sobolev space equipped with the norm

$$\|f | W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma)\| := \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \|D^\alpha f | L_p(\mathbb{R}^n, \gamma)\|.$$

Recall that for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we denoted by $\mathcal{P}_k$ the space of all polynomials of degree at most k. For a measurable set A of positive n-dimensional Lebesgue measure, a cube $Q = Q(x,r)$, a function $f \in L_1^{\mathrm{loc}}(A)$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, put

$$\mathcal{E}_{A,k}(f,Q) := \frac{1}{|Q|} \inf_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{k-1}} \int\limits_{Q \bigcap A} |f(y) - P(y)|\, dy,$$

$$f^\sharp_{A,k}(x,r) := \sup_{0<t<r} \frac{1}{t^k} \mathcal{E}_{A,k}(f, Q(x,t)).$$

In the case $A = \mathbb{R}^n$ put $\mathcal{E}_k(f,Q) := \mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{R}^n,k}(f,Q)$ and $f^\sharp_k(x,r) := f^\sharp_{\mathbb{R}^n,k}(x,r)$. The maximal functions $f^\sharp_k(\cdot,r)$ were considered by Calderon [7]. For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r > 0$, refer to $f^\sharp_{A,k}(\cdot,r)$ as *Calderon-type maximal functions*.

Lemma 2.1. *Given a cube $Q = Q(x,t)$, there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that every $f \in W_1^k(3Q)$ satisfies*

$$f^\sharp_k(x,t) \le C_1 M\Big[\chi_{3Q} \sum_{|\beta|=k} |D^\beta f|\Big](x) \quad \text{for almost all } x \in Q. \tag{2.4}$$

Conversely, for $f \in L_1(3Q)$ with $f^\sharp_k(\cdot,t) \in L_1(Q)$ and $t \in (0, r(Q))$, the weak derivatives $D^\beta f$ with $|\beta| = k$ exist on $\operatorname{int} Q$ and satisfy

$$\sum_{|\beta|=k} |D^\beta f(x)| \le C_2 f^\sharp_k(x,t) \quad \text{for almost all } x \in \operatorname{int} Q. \tag{2.5}$$

The constant $C_2 > 0$ is independent of f and $x \in Q$, as well as $t \in (0, r(Q))$.

Proof. The proof of this lemma repeats that of Theorem 5.6 of [10]. However, in (5.9) of [10] we should subtract the polynomial of best approximation on the corresponding cube Q instead of the polynomial P_z. It is also worth pointing out that the proof of that theorem does avoids all constraints on the side length $t > 0$ and that the constants appearing in the inequalities are independent of t.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that $p \in (1,\infty)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $\gamma \in A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and suppose that $F \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma)$ and $D^\alpha F \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma)$ for all α with $|\alpha| = m$. Then for every β with $|\beta| = k < m$ we have*

$$\|D^\beta F | L_p(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma)\| \le C \left(\|F | L_p(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma)\| + \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha F | L_p(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma)\| \right),$$

with the constant $C > 0$ depending only on γ, n, m, and k.

Proof. This follows easily from Theorem 2.1.14 of [56]. Indeed, that theorem establishes the estimate

$$\sum_{|\beta|=k} \|D^\beta F|L_p(B,\gamma)\|^p$$
$$\le C\left(|B|^{\frac{-kp}{n}}\|F|L_p(B,\gamma)\|^p + |B|^{\frac{(m-k)p}{n}} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha F|L_p(B,\gamma)\|^p\right) \tag{2.6}$$

with a constant $C > 0$ depending only on γ, n, m, and k. Cover $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a countable sequence of balls of unit radius centered in $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Write down the estimate (2.6) for each ball, and sum them over all balls using the property that the multiplicity of overlapping is finite.

The proof of Lemma 2.2 is complete.

Take $d \in [0,n]$. Recall that a set S is called Ahlfors d-regular if there are constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that

$$C_2 r^d \le \mathcal{H}^d(Q(x,r) \cap S) \le C_1 r^d$$

for every cube $Q = Q(x,r)$ with $x \in S$ and $r \in (0,1]$.

The following definition is taken from [42].

Definition 2.2. A set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *d-thick* if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}^d_\infty(Q(x,r) \cap S) \ge \varepsilon r^d$$

for all $x \in S$ and $r \in (0,1]$.

Remark 2.2. It is easy to show directly from the definition of Hausdorff content that every Ahlfors d-regular set is d-thick for all $d \in [0,n]$. The converse is false. Indeed, it is not difficult to show that an arbitrary domain (an open path-connected set) $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is 1-thick but not Ahlfors 1-regular for $n > 1$.

Remark 2.3. It is not difficult to show (see Section 5 of [1]) that for each $d \in [0,n]$ the conditions $\mathcal{H}^d(S) = 0$ and $\mathcal{H}^d_\infty(S) = 0$ are equivalent. This obviously implies that every d-thick set is of Hausdorff dimension $\dim_H S \ge d$.

Lemma 2.3. *Take $d' \in [0,n)$. Given a cube Q in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $f \in L_1(Q)$, there is a set $E \subset \frac{1}{2}Q$ such that $\mathcal{H}^d(E) = 0$ for $d > d'$ and*

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{1}{r^{d'}} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)} |f(y)|\,dy = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

for every point $x \in \frac{1}{2}Q \setminus E$.

Proof. For $c > 0$ put

$$E_c := \{x \in \frac{1}{2}Q : \limsup_{r\to 0} r^{-d'} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)} |f(y)|\, dy > c\}.$$

Verify that $\mathcal{H}^d(E_c) = 0$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}^d(E_c) > 0$. Then there are $\delta_0, \varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}^d_\delta(E_c) \geq \varepsilon > 0 \tag{2.8}$$

for all $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$. Fix $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ arbitrarily. For each point $x \in E_c$ find $\delta_x \in (0, \delta)$ such that

$$r^{-d'} \int\limits_{Q(x,\delta_x)} |f(y)|\, dy \geq c.$$

The family $\{Q(x, \delta_x)\}_{x\in E_c}$ of cubes is a covering of E_c. Arguing as in the proof of Vitali's lemma (see Section 1.6 of [54]), find a sequence of disjoint cubes $\{Q_k\} = \{Q(x_k, \delta_{x_k})\}$ such that

$$E_c \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} 5Q_k.$$

Consequently, the definition of $\mathcal{H}^d_\delta(E_c)$ and (2.8) yield

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 5^d \big(\operatorname{diam} Q_k\big)^d \geq \varepsilon > 0. \tag{2.9}$$

By (2.8) and (2.9), the definition of E_c shows that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r(Q_k)^{d-d'} \int\limits_{Q_k} |f(y)|\, dy \geq 5^{-d} c\varepsilon. \tag{2.10}$$

Since the cubes Q_k are disjoint and $\sup\limits_k \operatorname{diam} Q_k \leq \delta$, we see that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r(Q_k)^{d-d'} \int\limits_{Q_k} |f(y)|\, dy \leq \delta^{d-d'} \int\limits_{Q} |f(y)|\, dy \to 0, \quad \delta \to 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Clearly, (2.11) contradicts the estimate (2.10).

Therefore, we see that $\mathcal{H}^d(E_c) = 0$ for arbitrary $c > 0$. Consequently, $\mathcal{H}^d(\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\frac{1}{n}}) = 0$. Put $E := \bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{\frac{1}{n}}$. For $x \in \frac{1}{2}Q \setminus E$ we obviously have

$$\frac{1}{r^{d'}} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)} |f(y)|\, dy \to 0, \quad r \to 0.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.3 is complete.

Corollary 2.1. *Suppose that $d' \in [0, n)$. Then, given $d > d'$ and a function $f \in L_1^{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there is a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\mathcal{H}^d(E) = 0$ such that*

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{1}{r^{d'}} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)} |f(y)|\, dy = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E.$$

Proof. Take a sequence Q_k of closed cubes satisfying $\mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} Q_k$. Applying Lemma 2.3 to each cube Q_k, we obtain a sequence $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of sets with $\mathcal{H}^d(E_k) = 0$ such that (2.7) holds for each point $x \in \frac{1}{2} Q_k \setminus E_k$. Putting $E = \bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$, we complete the proof.

Definition 2.3. Given a measurable subset S of $\mathbb{R}^n$, call a cube $Q = Q(x, t)$ with $x \in S$ *regular with respect to S* (or *S-regular*) if $|Q \cap S| \geq c_0 |Q|$ for some $c_0 \in (0, 1]$ and *irregular with respect to S* (or *S-irregular*) otherwise.

Lemma 2.4. *For every closed set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists a family of closed dyadic cubes $W_S = \{Q_\varkappa\}_{\varkappa \in I}$ such that*

(1) $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S = \bigcup\limits_{\varkappa \in I} Q_\varkappa$;

(2) *for each $\varkappa \in I$*

$$\operatorname{diam}(Q_\varkappa) \leq \operatorname{dist}(Q_\varkappa, S) \leq 4 \operatorname{diam}(Q_\varkappa); \tag{2.12}$$

(3) *each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ is contained in at most $N = N(n)$ cubes of the family W_S.*

Proof. The proof of Lemma 2.4 is similar to that of Theorem 1 of [54], Ch. 6.

The family of cubes $W_S = \{Q_\varkappa\}_{\varkappa \in I}$ constructed in Lemma 2.4 is called a *Whitney decomposition* of the open set $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$, and the cubes $Q_\varkappa$ are called *Whitney cubes*.

Below we also need a part of a Whitney decomposition comprised of cubes of small side length. More precisely, put

$$\mathcal{W}_S = \{Q_\varkappa\}_{\varkappa \in \mathcal{I}} := \{Q_\varkappa \in W_S : r(Q_\varkappa) \leq 1\}.$$

For a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ define $Q^* := \frac{9}{8} Q$.

Lemma 2.5. *For $Q_\varkappa, Q_{\varkappa'} \in W_S$ with $Q_\varkappa^* \cap Q_{\varkappa'}^* \neq \emptyset$ the following claims hold:*

(1)

$$\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{diam}(Q_\varkappa) \leq \operatorname{diam}(Q_{\varkappa'}) \leq 4 \operatorname{diam}(Q_\varkappa), \tag{2.13}$$

(2) *for each index $\varkappa \in I$ there are at most $C(n)$ indexes $\varkappa'$ such that $Q_\varkappa^* \cap Q_{\varkappa'}^* \neq \emptyset$,*

(3) *for $\varkappa, \varkappa' \in I$ we have $Q_\varkappa^* \cap Q_{\varkappa'}^* \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $Q_\varkappa \cap Q_{\varkappa'} \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. In essence, the proof is contained in that of Theorem 1 of [54], Ch. 6. We leave the details to the reader.

The following **notation** is useful below.

Given a fixed closed set S, for every $\varkappa \in I$ put

$$b(\varkappa) := b(Q_\varkappa) := \{\varkappa' \in I : Q_\varkappa \cap Q_{\varkappa'} \neq \emptyset\} = \{\varkappa' \in I : Q^*_\varkappa \cap Q^*_{\varkappa'} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Call a cube $Q_{\varkappa'}$ *neighboring* to a cube $Q_\varkappa$ if $\varkappa' \in b(Q_\varkappa)$. Similarly, put $b(x) := \{\varkappa \in I : Q^*_\varkappa \ni x\}$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$.

Below we need a special partition of unity on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$.

Lemma 2.6. *For a closed set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, take a Whitney decomposition $\{Q_\varkappa\}_{\varkappa\in I}$ of the open set $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ constructed in Lemma 2.4. Then there exists a family of functions $\{\varphi_\varkappa\}_{\varkappa\in I}$ with the following properties:*

(1) *$\varphi_\varkappa \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S)$ for all $\varkappa \in I$;*

(2) *$0 \le \varphi_\varkappa \le 1$ and $\operatorname{supp}\varphi_\varkappa \subset (Q_\varkappa)^*$ for all $\varkappa \in I$;*

(3) *$\sum\limits_{\varkappa\in I} \varphi_\varkappa(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$;*

(4) *$\|D^\alpha \varphi_\varkappa | L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \le C(r(Q_\varkappa))^{-|\alpha|}$ for every multi-index $\alpha \in Z^n_+$ and every $\varkappa \in I$ with the constant $C > 0$ depending only on n.*

Proof. See [54], Ch. 6.

Definition 2.4. Given a closed set S and $x \notin S$, say that $\widetilde{x}$ is a *nearest point* to x or a *metric projection* of x to S whenever $\operatorname{dist}(x, S) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \widetilde{x})$.

Definition 2.5. Fix a closed set S. For a cube $Q = Q(x, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $x \notin S$ call $\widetilde{Q} = \widetilde{Q}(\widetilde{x}, r)$ a *reflected cube*, where $\widetilde{x}$ is a metric projection of x to S.

Remark 2.4. Clearly, the metric projection may not be unique. We specify an algorithm for choosing $\widetilde{x}$ only when our constructions require that. Otherwise, for a given cube $Q(x, r)$ we fix one arbitrarily chosen point $\widetilde{x}$ and the cube $\widetilde{Q}(\widetilde{x}, r)$.

Lemma 2.7. *Take a closed set S with a Whitney decomposition $W_S = \{Q_\varkappa\}_{\varkappa\in I}$. Then the multiplicity of overlapping of the reflected cubes $\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa := Q(\widetilde{x}_\varkappa, r_\varkappa)$ with the same side length is finite and bounded from above by a constant $C > 0$ depending only on n.*

Proof. Suppose that $\widetilde{Q}_\alpha \cap \widetilde{Q}_{\alpha'} \neq \emptyset$ for some $\alpha, \alpha' \in I$ and $\operatorname{diam} Q_\alpha = \operatorname{diam} Q_{\alpha'}$. In view of (2.12) and Definition 2.5, we have $\operatorname{dist}(Q_\alpha, \widetilde{x}_\alpha) \le 4 \operatorname{diam}(Q_\alpha)$ and $\operatorname{dist}(Q_{\alpha'}, \widetilde{x}_{\alpha'}) \le 4 \operatorname{diam}(Q_\alpha)$; hence, $\operatorname{dist}(Q_\alpha, Q_{\alpha'}) \le 9 \operatorname{diam}(Q_\alpha)$. Clearly, if $\operatorname{dist}(Q_\alpha, Q_{\alpha'}) < 9 \operatorname{diam}(Q_\alpha)$ then $Q_{\alpha'} \subset 20 Q_\alpha$.

Therefore, the number of cubes of the same size as Q_α lying at a distance of less than $11\,\mathrm{diam}(Q_\alpha)$ is bounded above by the constant $C = 20^n$. This proves Lemma 2.7.

The following property from [6], [11] is important for proving our extension theorems.

Theorem 2.2. *Every collection $\mathcal{A} = \{Q_\nu\}$ of cubes in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with finite multiplicity of overlapping $M_\mathcal{A}$ can be partitioned into at most $N = 2^{n-1}(M_\mathcal{A} - 1) + 1$ families of disjoint cubes.*

Definition 2.6. A *packing* on a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a family $\pi = \{Q_\nu\}$ of disjoint cubes of equal side length centered in S.

Definition 2.7. Say that $\pi = \{\pi_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ is a *system of packings* on a set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ if, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the packing π_j on S consists of cubes of side length 2^{-j}.

Definition 2.8. Given a subset S of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a constant $\lambda \in (0, 1]$, a cube $Q = Q(x, r)$ with $x \in S$, $r > 0$ is called *λ-porous with respect to S* if there exists a cube $\widehat{Q} \subset Q \setminus S$ of side length $r(\widehat{Q}) = \lambda r$.

The following simple but very useful lemma was stated in [47]. It is worth recalling that in our notation for the cube $Q = Q(x, r)$ we put $r = \mathrm{diam}(Q)$, while [46] and [47] use $r = \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{diam}(Q)$.

Lemma 2.8. *For a closed set S, take $Q(x_Q, r_Q), Q'(x'_Q, r_{Q'}) \in W_S$ with $Q \cap Q' \neq \emptyset$ and $r_{Q'} \leq r_Q$. Denote by $\widetilde{x}_Q$ a classical metric projection of x_Q to S. The following claims hold.*

(1) $x_{Q'} \in Q(\widetilde{x}_Q, 20\,\mathrm{diam}\,Q)$;

(2) *for all $\lambda \in (0, \frac{3}{20}]$ and $\sigma \in (0, 1]$ the cube $Q(\widetilde{x}_Q, 20\sigma\,\mathrm{diam}\,Q)$ is λ-porous;*

(3) $3Q \subset Q(\widetilde{x}_Q, 20\,\mathrm{diam}\,Q)$.

Recall that we defined Ahlfors d-regular sets, for $d \in [0, n]$, in the first section.

Lemma 2.9. *Given a closed Ahlfors n-regular set S, there exists a family $\mathfrak{U} := \{\mathcal{U}_\varkappa : \varkappa \in \mathcal{I}\}$ of Borel sets with the following properties:*

(1) $\mathcal{U}_\varkappa \subset (10Q_\varkappa) \cap S$ *for all* $\varkappa \in \mathcal{I}$;

(2) $|Q_\varkappa| \leq \kappa_1 |\mathcal{U}_\varkappa|$ *for all* $\varkappa \in \mathcal{I}$;

(3) $\sum\limits_{\varkappa \in \mathcal{I}} \chi_{\mathcal{U}_\varkappa}(x) \leq \kappa_2$ *for* $x \in S$.

Furthermore, the positive constants κ_1 and κ_2 depend only on n and c_0.

Proof. Our arguments repeat almost verbatim the proof of Theorem 2.4 of [46].

Definition 2.9. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, by the *standard rank k tiling* of a cube $Q := Q(x, r)$ we mean the family $\boldsymbol{D} := \boldsymbol{D}(Q)$ of 2^{nk} closed cubes $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^{2^{nk}}$ of side length $\frac{r}{2^k}$ with disjoint interiors.

Remark 2.5. Clearly, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the standard rank k tiling of a cube Q exists and is unique. Indeed, to construct rank 0 tiling, it suffices to take the cube Q. To construct the rank 1

tiling, it suffices to draw the coordinate affine planes through the midpoints of the edges of Q. Assume that the standard rank i tiling was constructed for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. To build the standard rank $i+1$ tiling we need to combine the standard rank 1 tilings for all rank i cubes. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the uniqueness of the standard rank k tiling follows easily from the condition that the cubes in the tiling be of equal size.

Definition 2.10. By the *standard system of tilings* of a cube $Q := Q(x,r)$ *with step* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we mean the family $\boldsymbol{D}(Q,k) = \{\boldsymbol{D}^i(Q,k)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ in which $\boldsymbol{D}^i := \boldsymbol{D}^i(Q,k)$ is the standard rank ki tiling for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Graph theory notation. Introduce some notation used below. Denote by $\mathcal{T}$ an arbitrary tree (a connected graph without loops) with an at most countable vertex set $V(\mathcal{T})$ and by ξ_0 its root. Two vertices of a graph are called adjacent if an edge connects them. A set of vertices $\{\xi_1,...,\xi_n\} \subset V(\mathcal{T})$ is called a path if ξ_i and ξ_{i+1} are adjacent for all $i \in \{1,..,n-1\}$. A path $\{\xi_1,...,\xi_n\}$ is called *simple* if all its vertices are distinct. The trees are assumed to be partially ordered. More precisely, given $\xi,\xi' \in V(\mathcal{T})$, we write $\xi' \succ \xi$ if there exists a simple path $\{\xi_0,\xi_1,...,\xi_n,\xi'\}$ such that $\xi = \xi_i$ for some $i \in \{0,..,n\}$. For $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, denote by $V^i(\mathcal{T})$ the rank i vertices; that is, $V^0(\mathcal{T}) := \{\xi_0\}$ and $\xi \in V^i(\mathcal{T})$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if the length of the simple path joining this vertex to the root is $i+1$.

For $\xi' \in V(\mathcal{T})$, denote by $\mathcal{T}_{\xi'}$ the subtree of $\mathcal{T}$ consisting of all vertices $\xi \succ \xi'$. For $\xi \in V(\mathcal{T})$, denote by $a_{\mathcal{T}}(\xi)$ the number of edges incident to the vertex ξ.

Lemma 2.10. *Given a cube Q and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for the standard system $\boldsymbol{D}(Q,k)$ of tilings there exists a tree $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{T}(Q,k)$ and mutually inverse bijections $\boldsymbol{\xi} : \{Q^i_m\} \to V(\mathcal{T})$ and $\boldsymbol{Q} : V(\mathcal{T}) \to \{Q^i_m\}$ such that $Q^{i+1}_{m'} \subset Q^i_m$ if and only if $\boldsymbol{\xi}(Q^{i+1}_{m'}) \succ \boldsymbol{\xi}(Q^i_m)$.*

Proof. Construct the required tree by induction. Assign a fixed cube Q the root $\xi_0 = \boldsymbol{\xi}(Q)$ and put $V^0(\mathcal{T}) := \{\xi_0\}$. Assume that the vertices $V^i(\mathcal{T})$ have been constructed for all $0 \le i \le l$. Fix a vertex $\xi \in V^l$ and the corresponding cube $\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi) \in \boldsymbol{D}^l(Q,k)$. Among the cubes of the tiling $\boldsymbol{D}^{l+1}(Q,k)$ select only those lying in $\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)$. Finally, connect ξ to the vertices assigned to the chosen cubes. This proves Lemma 2.10.

Definition 2.11. By a *standard tree* we mean the tree $\mathcal{T}(Q,k)$ constructed above for a cube Q and some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let us now state a particular case of Theorem 5.1.12 of [1].

Theorem 2.3. *Take $d \in [0,n]$ and a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. There exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on n and a measure μ_K with* $\operatorname{supp}\mu_K \subset K$ *satisfying $\mu_K(B(x,r)) \le r^d$ for all*

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *and* $r > 0$ *such that*

$$\mu_K(K) \le \mathcal{H}^d_\infty(K) \le C(n)\mu_K(K).$$

Remark 2.6. Let us fix $c_0 \in (0, 1]$. Assume that all balls $B(x, r)$ with $x \in K$ and $0 < r \le \operatorname{diam}(K)$ satisfy

$$|B(x, r) \cap K| \ge c_0 |B(x, r)|$$

and take $d = n$. Then it is readily verified that in this case we can take as μ_K the classical Lebesgue measure up to a constant depending only on n.

3 Traces of Sobolev spaces. The case $m = 1$

Recall that $\|x - y\| := \|x - y\|_\infty := \max\{|x_i - y_i| : i = 1, ..., n\}$ for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The following theorem gives a pointwise characterization of functions in the first-order Sobolev space $W^1_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It was proven for the first time in [27] in a slightly different form.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that* $p \in (1, \infty]$ *and take* $f \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *Then* $f \in W^1_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *if and only if there exist a nonnegative function* $g \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and positive constant* δ *such that*

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le \|x - y\| \Big(g(x) + g(y) \Big) \tag{3.1}$$

for almost all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *with* $\|x - y\| < \delta$.

Furthermore

$$\|\nabla f | L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \le C \|g | L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\|, \tag{3.2}$$

where the constant $C > 0$ *does not depend on* g.

Proof. The proof repeats that of Theorem 1 of [27] with minor adjustments.

Definition 3.1. For $d \in [0, n]$ take a d-thick closed subset S of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Given $x \in S$ and $r > 0$, use the measure $\mu_{Q(x,r)\cap S}$ constructed in Theorem 2.3 to put

$$\overline{\mu}_{x,r} := \frac{\mu_{Q(x,r)\cap S}}{\mu_{Q(x,r)\cap S}(Q(x, r) \cap S)}.$$

Remark 3.1. It is obvious that for all $x \in S$ and $r > 0$ the measure $\overline{\mu}_{x,r}$ is a probability measure with $\operatorname{supp} \overline{\mu}_{x,r} \subset Q(x, r) \cap S$. In addition, Definition 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 imply the estimate (with

some constant $C > 0$ which does not depend on x', r')

$$\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(B(x',r')) \le C\Big(\frac{r'}{r}\Big)^d, \quad x' \in \mathbb{R}^n, r' \in (0,r]. \tag{3.3}$$

Recall that for $\varkappa \in I$ the symbol $\widetilde{x}_\varkappa$ stands for a point in S which is closest to the center $x_\varkappa$ of the cube $Q_\varkappa$ and $\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa = Q(\widetilde{x}_\varkappa, r(Q_\varkappa))$. Henceforth we set $\overline{\mu}_\varkappa := \overline{\mu}_{\widetilde{x}_\varkappa, r_\varkappa}$ for brevity.

Now we are ready to present our construction of extension operator.

Definition 3.2. Take a function $f : S \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$f_\varkappa := \int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa \bigcap S} f(x)\, d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(x) < \infty$$

for $\varkappa \in \mathcal{I}$. With the same family of functions $\{\varphi_\varkappa\}_{\varkappa \in I}$ as in Lemma 2.6, put

$$F(x) := \mathrm{Ext}[f](x) := \chi_S(x) f(x) + \sum_{\varkappa \in \mathcal{I}} \varphi_\varkappa(x) f_\varkappa, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{3.4}$$

The following generalizations of Calderon-type maximal function are crucial below.

Definition 3.3. Given a measurable function $f : S \to \mathbb{R}$, put

$$f_S^\natural(x,r) := \sup \frac{1}{r(Q_1)} \int\limits_{Q_1} \Big| f(y) - \int\limits_{Q_2} f(z) d\overline{\mu}_{x_2,r_2}(z) \Big|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x_1,r_1}(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{3.5}$$

where the supremum is over all cubes $Q_1 := Q(x_1, r_1)$ and $Q_2 := Q_2(x_2, r_2)$ containing the point $x \in S$ with $x_1, x_2 \in S$ and $0 < r_1, r_2 \le r$ satisfying $1 \le \frac{r_1}{r_2} \le 2$.

More generally, for a cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ put

$$f_S^\natural(Q,r) := \sup \frac{1}{r(Q_1)} \int\limits_{Q_1} \Big| f(y) - \int\limits_{Q_2} f(z) d\overline{\mu}_{x_2,r_2}(z) \Big|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x_1,r_1}(y), \tag{3.6}$$

where the supremum is over all cubes $Q_1 := Q(x_1, r_1)$ and $Q_2 := Q_2(x_2, r_2)$ including the cube Q with $x_1, x_2 \in S$ and $0 < r_1, r_2 \le r$ satisfying $1 \le \frac{r_1}{r_2} \le 2$.

In the case that the cubes Q_1 and Q_2 with the mentioned properties containing x or including Q fail to exist, assume that $f_S^\natural(x) = 0$ or $f_S^\natural(Q) = 0$ respectively.

Remark 3.2. Note that (3.5) and (3.6) differ substantially from the formula defining the classical Calderon-type maximal function $f_S^\sharp(\cdot, r)$. The reason why precisely the maximal functions of

Definition 3.3 are most useful for us is, informally speaking, that the measures $\overline{\mu}_{x,r}$ for $x \in S$ and $r > 0$ need not enjoy the doubling property.

Remark 3.3. By Remark 3.1, it is obvious that all cubes $Q_1 = Q_1(x_1, r_1)$ and $Q_2 = Q_2(x_2, r_2)$ with $x_1, x_2 \in S$ and $r_1, r_2 > 0$ satisfy

$$\Big| \int\limits_{Q_1} f(y) d\overline{\mu}_{x_1,r_1}(y) - \int\limits_{Q_2} f(z) d\overline{\mu}_{x_2,r_2}(z) \Big| \le \int\limits_{Q_1} \Big| f(y) - \int\limits_{Q_2} f(z) d\overline{\mu}_{x_2,r_2}(z) \Big| \, d\overline{\mu}_{x_1,r_1}(y). \tag{3.7}$$

Remark 3.4. Actually, (3.4) defines not just one extension operator, but a family of operators. The reason is that the choice of a Frostman measure for each cube is not unique. The same applies to (3.5) and (3.6).

Lemma 3.1. *For $d \in [0, n]$ take a d-thick closed set S. Assume that $p \in (\max\{1, n-d\}, \infty]$, $q \in (\max\{1, n-d\}, p)$ and take $F \in W_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for every cube $Q = Q(x, r)$ with $x \in S$ and for every $r \in (0, 1]$ the following inequality*

$$\int\limits_{Q \cap S} \Big| F(y) - \frac{1}{Q} \int\limits_{Q} F(z)\, dz \Big| \, d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(y) \le Cr \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int\limits_{Q} \sum_{|\alpha|=1} |D^\alpha F(t)|^q \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \tag{3.8}$$

holds. The constant $C > 0$ is independent of the function F.

Proof. Using Theorem 1.1, we easily see that for almost every point $y \in Q(x, r) \cap S$ with respect to the Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^d$, and hence (we should use (3.3)), for almost every point $y \in Q(x, r) \cap S$ with respect to the measure $\overline{\mu}_{x,r}$ we have

$$F(y) = \lim_{Q' \ni y} \frac{1}{|Q'|} \int\limits_{Q'} F(z)\, dz, \tag{3.9}$$

where the limit is taken over a sequence of nested dyadic cubes with vanishing diameters which contain the point y.

Take some cube $Q = Q(x, r)$ and the standard tree $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}_Q}$ corresponding to the standard system of tilings of Q with step 1. Put $y = \bigcap\limits_{j=0}^{\infty} \boldsymbol{Q}(\xi_j)$ for some simple path $\{\xi_0, ..., \xi_k, ...\} \subset \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}_Q}$, where ξ_0, as before, stands for the root of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}_Q}$. Moreover, we assume that $\rho(\xi_j, \xi_{j+1}) = 1$, and hence, $|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi_j)| \approx |\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi_{j+1})|$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Therefore, using (3.9), for $\overline{\mu}_{x,r}$-almost all points $y \in Q \bigcap S$ we infer that

$$\Big| F(y) - \frac{1}{|Q|} \int\limits_{Q} F(z)\, dz \Big| \le \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Big| \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi_j)|} \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi_j)} F(z)\, dz - \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi_{j+1})|} \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi_{j+1})} F(z')\, dz' \Big|, \tag{3.10}$$

which yields

$$J(Q) := \frac{1}{r} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)\bigcap S} \Big|F(y) - \frac{1}{Q}\int\limits_{Q} F(z)\,dz\Big|\,d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(y) \le$$

$$\le \frac{1}{r}\sum_{\xi\in\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{\boldsymbol{Q}}}\sum_{\substack{\xi'\succ\xi\\ \rho(\xi,\xi')=1}} \overline{\mu}_{x,r}(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))\Big|\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)|}\int\limits_{\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)} F(z)\,dz - \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi')|}\int\limits_{\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi')} F(z')\,dz'\Big|. \tag{3.11}$$

It is clear that $|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)| \le 2^n|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi')|$ with $\xi' \succ \xi$ and $\rho(\xi,\xi') = 1$. By Lemma 4.4 with $A_1 = \boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)$ and $A_2 = \boldsymbol{Q}(\xi')$ for $\xi,\xi' \in V(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{\boldsymbol{Q}})$ with $\xi' \succ \xi$ and $\rho(\xi,\xi') = 1$, considering that in this case $\mathrm{conv}(A_1\bigcup A_2) = \boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)$, we obtain

$$\Big|\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)|}\int\limits_{\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)} F(z)\,dz - \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi')|}\int\limits_{\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi')} F(z')\,dz'\Big| \le C\frac{r(Q)}{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)|}\frac{r(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))}{r(Q)}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}\|D^\alpha F|L_1(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))\|\,. \tag{3.12}$$

Substituting (3.12) into (3.11), we find that

$$J(Q) \le C\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\xi\in V^j(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{\boldsymbol{Q}})}\frac{r(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))}{r(Q)}\frac{\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))}{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)|}\sum_{|\alpha|=1}\|D^\alpha F|L_1(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))\|\,. \tag{3.13}$$

Put $g := \sum\limits_{|\alpha|=1}|D^\alpha F|$ and apply Hölder's inequality for sums with exponents q and q' to the right-hand side of (3.13). For a sufficiently small δ, to be specified below, this yields

$$J(Q) \le C\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\xi\in V^j(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{\boldsymbol{Q}})}\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))\Big(\frac{r(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))}{r(Q)}\Big)^{q'\delta}\Big)^{\frac{1}{q'}}\times$$

$$\times\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\xi\in V^j(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{\boldsymbol{Q}})}\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))\Big(\frac{r(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))}{r(Q)}\Big)^{q(1-\delta)}\Big(\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)|}\Big\|g|L_1(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))\Big\|\Big)^q\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{3.14}$$

It is clear that $\bigcup\limits_{\xi\in V^j(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{\boldsymbol{Q}})}\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi) \subset Q$ for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In addition, $\mathrm{int}\,\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)\bigcap\mathrm{int}\,\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi') = \emptyset$ for $\xi,\xi' \in V^j(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{\boldsymbol{Q}})$ with $\xi \ne \xi'$ and $\frac{r(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))}{r(Q)} \le 2^{-j}$ for $\xi \in V^j(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{\boldsymbol{Q}})$. Since $q > n-d$, we may take δ so small that $q(1-\delta)+d > n+\frac{3}{4}(q-n+d)$. By (3.3), this gives

$$\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))\Big(\frac{r(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))}{r(Q)}\Big)^{q(1-\delta)} \le \frac{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)|}{|Q|}2^{-j\frac{(q-n+d)}{4}}. \tag{3.15}$$

Hölder's inequality for integrals with exponents q and q' shows that

$$\sum_{\xi\in V^j(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{\boldsymbol{Q}})}|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)|\Big(\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)|}\Big\|g|L_1(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))\Big\|\Big)^q \le \sum_{\xi\in V^j(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{\boldsymbol{Q}})}\int\limits_{\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)} g^q(x)\,dx \le \int\limits_{Q} g^q(x)\,dx. \tag{3.16}$$

Hence, applying (3.14), (3.15) and (3.16), we see that

$$J(Q) \le C\Big(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}2^{-kj\frac{(q-n+d)}{4}}\frac{1}{|Q|}\|g|L_q(Q)\|^q\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le C\Big(\frac{1}{|Q|}\|g|L_r(Q)\|^q\Big)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{3.17}$$

The proof of Lemma 3.1 is complete.

Recall that we defined $b(\varkappa)$ and $b(x)$ (for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$) in Section 2 immediately following Lemma 2.5. For brevity, put $f_S^\natural(x) := f_S^\natural(x,1)$ and $f_S^\natural(Q) := f_S^\natural(Q,1)$.

Lemma 3.2. *For $d \in [0,n]$ and a d-thick closed set S, take $f : S \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $f_\varkappa < \infty$ for all $\varkappa \in \mathcal{I}$. Suppose that*

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)} |f(x) - f(y)|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(y) = 0 \tag{3.18}$$

for almost all points $x \in S$ with respect to the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure. Then there are numbers $\delta \in (0,1)$ and $C > 0$ such that the function $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ defined in (3.4) satisfies

$$|F(x) - F(y)| \le C\|x-y\|\Big(g(x) + g(y)\Big) \tag{3.19}$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|x-y\| < \delta$, where

$$g(x) = \chi_S(x) f_S^\natural(x) + \sum_{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}} \chi_{Q_\varkappa}(x) \sum_{\varkappa'\in b(\varkappa)} \Big(f_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'}) + \int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'}} |f(z)|\, d\overline{\mu}_{\varkappa'}(z)\Big), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{3.20}$$

Proof. Let us verify that (3.19) holds for all $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{50})$. It is obvious that we should consider five cases:

(1) $x, y \in S$ with $\|x-y\| < \delta$;

(2) $x \in S$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ with $\|x-y\| < \delta$;

(3) $y \in S$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ with $\|x-y\| < \delta$;

(4) $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ with $\|x-y\| < \delta$ and $x, y \in U_{\frac{1}{25}}(S)$;

(5) $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ with $\|x-y\| < \delta$ and either $x \notin U_{\frac{1}{25}}(S)$ or $y \notin U_{\frac{1}{25}}(S)$.

Clearly, in the last case $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus U_{\frac{1}{50}} S$ because $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{50})$. In addition, by the symmetry of the left-hand side of (3.19) with respect to x and y, we can combine cases 2 and 3.

Case 1. Take $f : S \to \mathbb{R}$. For $x, y \in S$ with $\|x-y\| < \delta$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x) - F(y)| &= |f(x) - f(y)| \\ &\le \Big|f(x) - \int\limits_{Q(x,\|x-y\|)} f(z) d\overline{\mu}_{x,\|x-y\|}(z)\Big| + \Big|f(y) - \int\limits_{Q(x,\|x-y\|)} f(z) d\overline{\mu}_{x,\|x-y\|}(z)\Big|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Hence, by (3.5), (3.7), and (3.18), for almost every $y \in S$ with respect to the n-dimensional Lebesgue

measure we see that

$$\Big|f(y)-\int\limits_{Q(x,\|x-y\|)} f(z)d\overline{\mu}_{x,\|x-y\|}(z)\Big|$$
$$\le \frac{\|x-y\|}{\|x-y\|}\Big(\Big|\int\limits_{Q(y,\|x-y\|)} f(z')d\overline{\mu}_{y,\|x-y\|}(z')-\int\limits_{Q(x,\|x-y\|)} f(z)d\overline{\mu}_{x,\|x-y\|}(z)\Big|+$$
$$+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\Big|\int\limits_{Q(y,\frac{\|x-y\|}{2^j})} f(z)d\overline{\mu}_{y,\frac{\|x-y\|}{2^j}}(z)-\int\limits_{Q(y,\frac{\|x-y\|}{2^{j+1}})} f(z')d\overline{\mu}_{y,\frac{\|x-y\|}{2^{j+1}}}(z')\Big|\Big)\le$$
$$\le \|x-y\|\left(f_S^\natural(y)+\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}2^{-j}f_S^\natural(y)\right)\le C\|x-y\|f_S^\natural(y). \tag{3.22}$$

Similar arguments for almost every $x\in S$ yield

$$\Big|f(x)-\int\limits_{Q(x,\|x-y\|)} f(z)d\overline{\mu}_{x,\|x-y\|}(z)\Big|\le C\|x-y\|f_S^\natural(x). \tag{3.23}$$

As a result, from (3.21), (3.22), and (3.23) for almost all $x,y\in S\times S$ with respect to the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure we obtain

$$|F(x)-F(y)|=|f(x)-f(y)|\le C\|x-y\|\big(f_S^\natural(x)+f_S^\natural(y)\big). \tag{3.24}$$

Case 2. Consider the case that $x\in S$ and $y\in U_\delta(S)\setminus S$. The case that $y\in S$ and $x\in U_\delta(S)\setminus S$ is similar. Assume also that $\|x-y\|\le\delta$.

If $\delta\in(0,1)$ then by (2.12) each point $x\in U_\delta(S)$ lies in some cube $Q_\varkappa$ for $\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}$. If $\delta\in(0,\frac14)$ then by (2.13) we may assume in addition that

$$\sum_{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}}\varphi_\varkappa(y)=1,\quad y\in U_\delta(S). \tag{3.25}$$

Using claim 2 of Lemma 2.6, observe that $b(y)=\{\varkappa\in I:\varphi_\varkappa(y)\ne0\}$. Therefore, (3.25) yields

$$|F(x)-F(y)|=|f(x)-F(y)|\le\sum_{\varkappa\in b(y)}\varphi_\varkappa(y)\Big|f(x)-\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa\cap S} f(z)\,d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z)\Big|. \tag{3.26}$$

Fix $\varkappa\in b(y)$ and consider the cube $Q=Q(x,r)$ with $r=2\max\{\|x-\widetilde{x}_\varkappa\|,\operatorname{diam}\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa\}$. Then $\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa\subset Q(x,r)$ and $r<1$ for $\delta\in(0,\frac{1}{25})$. Observe also that (2.12) implies the estimate $r<C\|x-y\|$ with the constant $C>0$ independent of x and y.

Hence, arguing as in (3.21) and (3.22), while using (3.18), for almost every $x\in S$ with respect

to the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|f(x) - \int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa \cap S} f(z)\,d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z)\Big| \\
&\le \Big|f(x) - \int\limits_{Q(x,r)\cap S} f(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(y)\Big| + \Big|\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa\cap S} f(z)\,d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z) - \int\limits_{Q(x,r)\cap S} f(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(y)\Big| \\
&\le C\|x-y\|\Big(f_S^\natural(x) + f_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa)\Big). \qquad (3.27)
\end{aligned}$$

As a result,

$$\begin{aligned}
|F(x)-F(y)| &\le C\|x-y\|\Big(f_S^\natural(x) + \sum_{\varkappa\in b(y)} f_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa)\Big) \\
&\le C\|x-y\|\Big(f_S^\natural(x) + \sum_{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}} \chi_{Q_\varkappa}(y) f_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa)\Big) = C\|x-y\|\Big(g(x)+g(y)\Big). \qquad (3.28)
\end{aligned}$$

Case 3. Take $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ with $\|x-y\| \le \delta$ and $x, y \in U_{\frac{1}{25}}(S)$.

There are two subcases here. In the first one there exist cubes $Q_{\varkappa_0} \ni x$ and $Q_{\varkappa_1} \ni y$ with $Q_{\varkappa_0} \cap Q_{\varkappa_1} = \emptyset$, and in the second one $Q_{\varkappa_0} \cap Q_{\varkappa_1} \ne \emptyset$ for all cubes $Q_{\varkappa_0}$ and $Q_{\varkappa_1}$ containing x and y respectively.

Consider the first subcase. Suppose that $x \in Q^*_{\varkappa_0}$ and $y \in Q^*_{\varkappa_1}$ for some $\varkappa_0, \varkappa_1 \in I$. Observe that by (2.12) for $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{25})$ we have $r(Q_{\varkappa_0}), r(Q_{\varkappa_1}) < 1$. Hence, we may assume that $\varkappa_0, \varkappa_1 \in \mathcal{I}$. Arguing as in (3.26), we see that

$$|F(x)-F(y)| \le \sum_{\varkappa\in b(y)} \sum_{\varkappa'\in b(x)} \Big|\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa\cap S} f(z)\,d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z) - \int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'}\cap S} f(z)\,d\overline{\mu}_{\varkappa'}(z)\Big|. \qquad (3.29)$$

For fixed $\varkappa \in b(x)$ and $\varkappa' \in b(y)$ consider the cube $Q = Q(\widetilde{x}_\varkappa, r)$ with

$$r = 2\max\{\|\widetilde{x}_\varkappa - \widetilde{x}_{\varkappa'}\|, \operatorname{diam}(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa), \operatorname{diam}(\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'})\}.$$

Then $\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa, \widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'} \subset Q$. Note that $r < 1$ for $x, y \in U_{\frac{1}{25}}(S)$, $\|x-y\| < \delta$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{50})$. In addition, using (2.12) and the condition $Q_{\varkappa_0} \cap Q_{\varkappa_1} = \emptyset$, we can show easily that $r < C\|x-y\|$ with the constant $C > 0$ independent of x and y, as well as $\varkappa$ and $\varkappa'$. Consequently, the same arguments as in (3.21) and (3.22) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa\cap S} f(z)\,d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z) - \int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'}\cap S} f(z)\,d\overline{\mu}_{\varkappa'}(z)\Big| \\
&\le \Big|\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa\cap S} f(z)\,d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z) - \int\limits_{Q\cap S} f(z')\,d\overline{\mu}_{\widetilde{x}_\varkappa,r}(z')\Big| + \Big|\int\limits_{Q\cap S} f(z')\,d\overline{\mu}_{\widetilde{x}_\varkappa,r}(z') - \int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'}\cap S} f(z)\,d\overline{\mu}_{\varkappa'}(z)\Big| \\
&\le C\|x-y\|\Big(f_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa) + f_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'})\Big). \qquad (3.30)
\end{aligned}$$

From (3.29) and (3.30) we conclude that

$$|F(x)-F(y)| \le C\|x-y\|\Big(\sum_{\varkappa\in b(x)} f_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa)+\sum_{\varkappa'\in b(y)} f_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'})\Big)$$

$$\le C\|x-y\|\big(g(x)+g(y)\big). \tag{3.31}$$

Consider now the second subcase. Let us fix some $Q_{\varkappa_0}\ni x$ and $Q_{\varkappa_1}\ni y$. In this case $Q_{\varkappa_0}\cap Q_{\varkappa_1}\neq\emptyset$. Hence $\varkappa\in b(\varkappa_0)\cup b(\varkappa_1)$ for every $Q_\varkappa^*\ni x+t(y-x)$, $t\in[0,1]$. Since $F\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus S)$, the mean value inequality applies. Hence, using Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6,

$$\frac{1}{\|x-y\|}|F(x)-F(y)| \le \max_{t\in[0,1]}|\nabla F(x+t(y-x))|$$

$$\le \frac{C}{r(Q_{\varkappa_0})}\sum_{\varkappa\in b(\varkappa_0)}\Big|\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa_0}} f(z')\,d\overline{\mu}_{\varkappa_0}(z')-\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa} f(z)\,d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z)\Big|$$

$$+\frac{C}{r(Q_{\varkappa_0})}\sum_{\varkappa\in b(\varkappa_1)}\Big|\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa_1}} f(z')\,d\overline{\mu}_{\varkappa_0}(z')-\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa} f(z)\,d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z)\Big|. \tag{3.32}$$

Hence, using (3.32), and using the same arguments as in (3.30), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\|x-y\|}|F(x)-F(y)| \le C\sum_{\varkappa\in b(\varkappa_0)\cup b(\varkappa_1)} f_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa)\le C\big(g(x)+g(y)\big). \tag{3.33}$$

Combining (3.31), and (3.33), we handle case 3.

Case 4. Assume now that $\|x-y\|<\delta$ and $\delta\in(0,\frac{1}{50})$. Suppose that $x\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus U_{\frac{1}{25}}(S)$ or $y\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus U_{\frac{1}{25}}(S)$. Then $x,y\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus U_{\frac{1}{50}}(S)$. Let us take some $Q_{\varkappa_0}\ni x$ and some $Q_{\varkappa_1}\ni y$. By (2.12), this implies that

$$\operatorname{dist}(x,S)\le \operatorname{diam} Q_{\varkappa_0}+\operatorname{dist}(Q_{\varkappa_0},S)\le 5\operatorname{diam} Q_{\varkappa_0},$$

$$\operatorname{dist}(y,S)\le \operatorname{diam} Q_{\varkappa_1}+\operatorname{dist}(Q_{\varkappa_1},S)\le 5\operatorname{diam} Q_{\varkappa_1}. \tag{3.34}$$

Consider two subcases by analogy with case 3.

In the first subcase take disjoint cubes $Q_{\varkappa_0}\ni x$ and $Q_{\varkappa_1}\ni y$. Then (3.34) yields

$$\|x-y\|\ge \min\{\operatorname{diam} Q_{\varkappa_0},\operatorname{diam} Q_{\varkappa_1}\}\ge\frac{1}{250}. \tag{3.35}$$

By (3.4) and (3.20) this implies that

$$\frac{1}{\|x-y\|}|F(x)-F(y)| \le C\Big(\sum_{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}}\chi_{Q_\varkappa}(x)\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa}|f(z)|\,d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z)+\sum_{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}}\chi_{Q_{\varkappa'}}(y)\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'}}|f(z')|\,d\overline{\mu}_{\varkappa'}(z'))\Big)$$

$$\le C\big(g(x)+g(y)\big). \tag{3.36}$$

In the second subcase each pair of cubes $Q_{\varkappa_0} \ni x$ as $Q_{\varkappa_1} \ni y$ meet. By claim 4 of Lemma 2.6 together with (3.4) and (3.34), we obtain (we also use similar arguments as in (3.32))

$$\frac{1}{\|x-y\|}|F(x)-F(y)| \le \max_{t\in[0,1]}|\nabla F(x+t(y-x))|$$
$$\le C\sum_{\varkappa\in b(x)\cup b(y)}\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa\cap S}|f(z)|\,d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z) \le C\big(g(x)+g(y)\big). \tag{3.37}$$

Combining (3.36) and (3.37), we handle case 4.

The proof of Lemma 3.2 is complete.

For $d\in[0,n]$ take a d-thick closed set S. Assume that $p\in(1,\infty)$, $\lambda\in(0,\frac{1}{10})$, and $r>0$. For a measurable function $f: S\to\mathbb{R}$ put

$$\Big(\mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda}[f]\Big)^p := \sup\sum_{j=j_0(r)}^{\infty}\sum_{\nu}|Q_{j,\nu}|\Big(f_S^\natural(Q_{j,\nu},r)+\int\limits_{Q_{j,\nu}\cap S}|f(z)|\,d\overline{\mu}_{Q_{j,\nu}\cap S}(z)\Big)^p,$$

where the supremum is over all systems of packings (on S) of λ-porous cubes $\{Q_{j,\nu}\}$ and $j_0(r)=\max\{1,-[\log_2 r\}]$.

For $d\in[0,n]$ take a d-thick closed set S. For $p\in(1,\infty)$ put

$$\mathcal{SN}_p[f] := \|f|L_p(S)\| + \|f_S^\natural(\cdot,r)|L_p(S)\|.$$

Finally, under the above assumptions put

$$\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[f] := \mathcal{SN}_p[f] + \mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda}[f].$$

Remark 3.5. The functionals $\mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda}$, $\mathcal{SN}_p$, and $\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}$ have values in $[0,+\infty]$. Below we establish that these functionals are finite on $\mathcal{J}\big(W_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\big)|_S$.

Remark 3.6. Our notation $\mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{SN}_p$ is not picked at random. Informally speaking, the functional $\mathcal{SN}_p$ is a Sobolev-type norm of the function f on the set S, while we may regard the functional $\mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda}$ as a Besov-type norm of f on S.

Now we can state the main result of this section. For the reader's convenience, we recall that $\dim_H S\ge d$ for d-thick sets S.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that $1<p\le\infty$ and $d\in[0,n]$ satisfies $d>n-p$. Take a d-thick closed subset S of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda\in(0,\frac{1}{10})$. Then $f\in\mathcal{J}\big(W_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\big)|_S$ if and only if*

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\int\limits_{Q(x,t)\cap S}|f(x)-f(z)|\,d\overline{\mu}_{x,t}(z)=0,\quad x\in S', \tag{3.38}$$

for some $S' \subset S$ *with* $\mathcal{H}^d(S \setminus S') = 0$ *and*

$$\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[f] := \mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda}[f] + \mathcal{SN}_p[f] < \infty$$

for some $r \geq 1$. *Furthermore,*

$$\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[f] \sim \|f|\mathcal{J}\big(W_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\big)|_S\|, \tag{3.39}$$

the operator Ext *constructed in* (3.4) *is a bounded linear operator from* $\mathcal{J}\big(W_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\big)|_S$ *to* $W_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, *and every* $x \in S'$ *is a Lebesgue point of the function* F.

The constants of equivalence in (3.39) *and the operator norm of* Ext *depend only on* p, n, λ, r *and* d.

Proof. For simplicity, consider the case $r = 1$. We also consider the case $p < \infty$ (because the case $p = \infty$ is simpler). Split the proof in two parts.

Necessity. By Theorem 1.1, for every function $F \in W_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists a set $S' \subset S$ such that $\mathcal{H}^d(S \setminus S') = 0$ and all points $x \in S'$ are Lebesgue points of F. Put $f(x) = F(x)$ for all $x \in S'$. Extend the function f by zero on $S \setminus S'$. Verify the inequality

$$\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[f] \leq C\|F|W_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \tag{3.40}$$

with the constant $C > 0$ independent of F.

Indeed, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f_S^\natural(x) \leq{}& \sup_{Q_1 \ni x} \frac{1}{r_1} \int\limits_{Q_1 \cap S} \Big| f(z) - \frac{1}{|Q_1|} \int\limits_{Q_1} F(y)\,dy \Big|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x_1,r_1}(z) \\ &+ C \sup_{Q_2 \ni x} \frac{1}{r_2} \int\limits_{Q_2 \cap S} \Big| f(z') - \frac{1}{|Q_2|} \int\limits_{Q_2} F(y')\,dy' \Big|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x_2,r_2}(z') \\ &+ C \sup_{Q_1, Q_2 \ni x} \frac{1}{\min\{r_1, r_2\}} \Big| \frac{1}{|Q_1|} \int\limits_{Q_1} F(y)\,dy - \frac{1}{|Q_2|} \int\limits_{Q_2} F(y')\,dy' \Big|, \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

where the supremum in the right-hand side is over the cubes $Q_1 := Q_1(x_1, r_1)$ and $Q_2 := Q_2(x_2, r_2)$ satisfying the same conditions as in Definition 3.3.

Fixing arbitrarily $q \in (\max\{1, n-d\}, p)$, use Lemma 3.1 to estimate the first and second terms in (3.41). To estimate the third term in (3.41), apply Lemma 4.4 and Hölder's inequality. This yields

$$f_S^\natural(x) \leq C \sup_{\substack{Q \ni x \\ r(Q) \leq 2}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int\limits_Q \sum_{|\alpha|=1} |D^\alpha F(y)|^q\,dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{3.42}$$

Hence, Theorem 2.1 (with $\gamma \equiv 1$) implies that

$$\mathcal{SN}_p[f] \le C\|F|W_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\|. \tag{3.43}$$

Let us now estimate $\mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda}[f]$. By analogy with (3.42), for every cube $Q = Q(x,r)$ with $x \in S$ and $r \in (0,1)$ we can establish the estimate

$$f_S^\natural(Q) \le C \sup_{\substack{Q' \supset Q \\ r(Q) \le r(Q') \le 2}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q'|} \int\limits_{Q'} \sum_{|\alpha|=1} |D^\alpha F(y)|^q \, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{3.44}$$

If the cube $Q = Q(x,r)$ with $x \in S$ and $r \in (0,1)$ is λ-porous then this yields

$$\begin{aligned} |Q| \Big(f_S^\natural(Q) \Big)^p &\le C|\widehat{Q}| \sup_{\substack{Q' \supset Q \\ r(Q) \le r(Q') \le 2}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q'|} \int\limits_{Q'} \sum_{|\alpha|=1} |D^\alpha F(y)|^q \, dy \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \\ &\le C \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \int\limits_{\frac{1}{2}\widehat{Q}} \Big(M[|D^\alpha F|^q](x) \Big)^{\frac{p}{q}} \, dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

where $\widehat{Q} \subset Q \setminus S$ is a cube with $|\widehat{Q}| = \lambda |Q|$.

We find that

$$\begin{aligned} &|Q| \left(\int\limits_{Q \cap S} |f(z)| \, d\overline{\mu}_{Q \cap S}(z) \right)^p \\ &\le C|\widehat{Q}| \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int\limits_Q |F(y)| \, dy + \int\limits_{Q \cap S} \Big| f(z) - \frac{1}{|Q|} \int\limits_Q F(y) \, dy \Big| \, d\overline{\mu}_{Q \cap S}(z) \right)^p. \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

To estimate the first term in (3.46) we use Hölder inequality. We use Lemma 3.1 for the λ-porous cube Q to estimate the second term in (3.46).

Hence, we have

$$|Q| \left(\int\limits_{Q \cap S} |f(z)| \, d\overline{\mu}_{Q \cap S}(z) \right)^p \le C \sum_{|\alpha| \le 1} \int\limits_{\frac{1}{2}\widehat{Q}} \Big(M[|D^\alpha F|^q](x) \Big)^{\frac{p}{q}} \, dx. \tag{3.47}$$

Let us now make one observation. Take a system $\pi = \{\pi_j\}_{j=1}^\infty = \{Q_{j,\nu}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}, \nu \in \mathfrak{I}_j}$ of packings comprised of λ-porous cubes. Fix a cube $Q_{j,\nu} \in \pi_j$. Since all points $x \in \frac{1}{2}\widehat{Q}_{j,\nu}$ satisfy

$$\operatorname{dist}(x,S) \ge \frac{\lambda}{2} 2^{-j},$$

there exists a number $k = k(\lambda)$ such that

$$\bigcup_{\nu\in\mathfrak{I}_j} \frac{1}{2}\widehat{Q}_{j,\nu} \subset U_{2^{-j}}S \setminus U_{2^{-j-k(\lambda)}}S =: V_j. \tag{3.48}$$

The multiplicity of overlapping of the sets V_j is clearly finite and independent of $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Hence, using Theorem 2.1 and combining the estimates (3.45) and (3.47), we arrive at

$$\mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda}[f] \le C\|F|W_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\|. \tag{3.49}$$

Obviously, (3.43) and (3.49) imply (3.40).

It remains to verify (3.38). Assume that $x \in S'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\limits_{Q(x,t)\cap S} |f(x) - f(z)|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x,t}(z)\\ &\le \Big|f(x) - \frac{1}{|Q(x,t)|}\int\limits_{Q(x,t)} F(y)\,dy\Big| + \int\limits_{Q(x,t)\cap S}\Big|f(z) - \frac{1}{|Q(x,t)|}\int\limits_{Q(x,t)} F(y)\,dy\Big|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x,t}(z).\end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

The first term in the right-hand side vanishes as $t \to 0$ by the definition of S'. By Lemma 3.1 (note that $q > n - d$!) and Corollary 2.1, there is $S'' \subset S'$, $\mathcal{H}^d(S' \setminus S'') = 0$ such that the second term also vanishes for $x \in S''$.

This proves necessity.

Sufficiency. Assume that $\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[f] < \infty$. Then it is obvious that $f_\varkappa < \infty$ for all $\varkappa \in \mathcal{I}$. Consequently, (3.4) yields a well-defined function $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S)$ whose pointwise restriction to S coincides with the original function f.

Verify now that $F \in W_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|F|W_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \le C\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[f] \tag{3.51}$$

with the constant $C > 0$ independent of the function $f : S \to \mathbb{R}$. To this end, firstly estimate $\|g|L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\|$ for the function g of (3.20). Clearly,

$$\|g|L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| = \|g|L_p(S)\| + \|g|L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S)\|. \tag{3.52}$$

Directly from (3.20) we deduce that

$$\|g|L_p(S)\| \le \|f_S^\natural|L_p(S)\|. \tag{3.53}$$

Now raise (3.20) to power p and integrate over $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ using the property that $\varkappa' \in b(Q_\varkappa)$ only for a finite, and independent of $\varkappa'$, number of indices $\varkappa \in I$. This yields

$$\|g|L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S)\|^p \le \sum_{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}} |Q_\varkappa| \sum_{\varkappa'\in b(\varkappa)} \Big(f_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'}) + \int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'}} |f(z)|\, d\overline{\mu}_{\varkappa'}(z)\Big)^p$$

$$\le C \sum_{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}} |Q_\varkappa| \Big(f_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa) + \int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa} |f(z)|\, d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z)\Big)^p. \tag{3.54}$$

From this, using Lemma 2.7 and then Theorem 2.2, we infer that

$$\|g|L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S)\| \le C\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[f]. \tag{3.55}$$

Similar arguments lead to

$$\|F|L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\|^p \le \|f|L_p(S)\|^p + C \sum_{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}} |Q_\varkappa| \Big(\sum_{\varkappa'\in\mathcal{I}\cap b(\varkappa)} \int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'}\cap S} |f(z)|\, d\overline{\mu}_{\varkappa'}(z)\Big)^p$$

$$\le C\Big(\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[f]\Big)^p. \tag{3.56}$$

Now (3.52), (3.53), (3.55), (3.56) and Theorem 3.1 clearly imply (3.51).

To complete the proof, it remains to show that almost every point $x \in S'$ with respect to the Hausdorff $\mathcal{H}^d$-measure is a Lebesgue point of the function F.

Fix a cube $Q(x,r)$ with $r \in (0, \frac{1}{100})$. Observe that (3.4) directly implies the estimate

$$\frac{1}{|Q(x,r)|} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)} |F(x) - F(z)|\, dz \le \frac{1}{|Q(x,r)|} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)\cap S} |f(x) - f(z)|\, dz$$

$$+ \frac{C}{|Q(x,r)|} \sum_{\substack{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I} \\ Q_\varkappa^*\cap Q(x,r)\neq\emptyset}} |Q_\varkappa| \int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa\cap S} |f(x) - f(z)|\, d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z). \tag{3.57}$$

To make a key observation, inspect the proof of Theorem 5.1.12 of [1]. By the construction of the Frostman measure,

$$\frac{|Q(y,t)\cap S|}{|Q(x,r)|} \le \overline{\mu}_{x,r}(Q(y,t)\cap S)$$

for all $y \in Q(x,r)\cap S$ and $t \in (0,r)$. As a result,

$$\frac{1}{|Q(x,r)|} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)\cap S} |f(x) - f(z)|\, dz \le \int\limits_{Q(x,r)\cap S} |f(x) - f(z)|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(z) \to 0, \quad r \to 0. \tag{3.58}$$

Observe that $\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa \subset 100Q(x,r)$ for all $\varkappa \in \mathcal{I}$ with $Q_\varkappa \cap Q(x,r) \neq \emptyset$. Hence, using the estimate

$$\sum_{\substack{\kappa\in\mathcal{I} \\ Q_\varkappa^*\cap Q(x,r)\neq\emptyset}} |Q_\varkappa| \le C|Q| \tag{3.59}$$

and arguing as for (3.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|Q(x,r)|}\sum_{\substack{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}\\ Q^*_\varkappa\cap Q(x,r)\neq\emptyset}}|Q_\varkappa|\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa\cap S}|f(x)-f(z)|\,d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z)\\
&\le\frac{1}{|Q(x,r)|}\sum_{\substack{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}\\ Q^*_\varkappa\cap Q(x,r)\neq\emptyset}}|Q_\varkappa|\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa\cap S}\Big|f(x)-\int\limits_{Q(x,100r)}f(z')\,d\overline{\mu}_{x,100r}(z')\Big|\,d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z)\\
&+\frac{1}{|Q(x,r)|}\sum_{\substack{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}\\ Q^*_\varkappa\cap Q(x,r)\neq\emptyset}}|Q_\varkappa|\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa\cap S}\Big|f(z)-\int\limits_{Q(x,100r)}f(z')\,d\overline{\mu}_{x,100r}(z')\Big|\,d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z)\\
&\le C\int\limits_{Q(x,100r)\cap S}|f(x)-f(z')|\,d\overline{\mu}_{x,100r}(z')+C\frac{r}{|Q(x,r)|}\sum_{\substack{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}\\ Q^*_\varkappa\cap Q(x,r)\neq\emptyset}}|Q_\varkappa|f^\natural_S(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.60}$$

Using (3.59) once again, by Hölder's inequality for sums with exponents p and p' we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{r}{|Q(x,r)|}\sum_{\substack{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}\\ Q^*_\varkappa\cap Q(x,r)\neq\emptyset}}|Q_\varkappa|f^\natural_S(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa)\\
&\le\left(\frac{r^p}{|Q(x,r)|}\sum_{\substack{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}\\ Q^*_\varkappa\cap Q(x,r)\neq\emptyset}}|Q_\varkappa|\Big(f^\natural_S(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa)\Big)^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}=:\big(J(x,r)\big)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.61}$$

Verify that there exists a set $S''\subset S'\subset S$ such that $\mathcal{H}^d(S'\setminus S'')=0$, and so $\mathcal{H}^d(S\setminus S'')=0$ as well, and $J(x,r)\to 0$ as $r\to 0$ for all points $x\in S''$.

If $p\ge n$ then we can take S' as S''. Indeed, it is sufficient to use our assumption $\mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda}[f]<\infty$.

Assume now that $1<p<n$. Fix a constant $c>0$ and consider the set

$$S_c:=\{x\in S:\limsup_{r\to 0}J(x,r)>c\}.$$

Verify that $\mathcal{H}^d(S_c)=0$. Assume on the contrary that $\mathcal{H}^d(S_c)>0$. Then there are $\delta_0,\varepsilon>0$ such that for all $\delta\in(0,\delta_0)$ we have

$$\mathcal{H}^d_\delta(S_c)\ge\varepsilon>0.\tag{3.62}$$

Fix arbitrarily $\delta\in(0,\delta_0)$. For each point $x\in S_c$ find $\delta_x\in(0,\delta)$ with $J(x,\delta_x)>c$. The family $\{Q(x,10\delta_x)\}_{x\in S_c}$ of cubes covers S_c. Arguing as in the proof of Vitali's lemma (see Section 1.6 of [53]), find a sequence $\{10Q_k\}=\{10Q(x_k,\delta_{x_k})\}$ of disjoint cubes such that

$$S_c\subset\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty 50Q_k.$$

Consequently, the definition of $\mathcal{H}^d_\delta(S_c)$ and (3.62) yield

$$\sum_{k=0}^\infty 50^d\big(\operatorname{diam}Q_k\big)^d\ge\varepsilon>0.\tag{3.63}$$

By (3.61) and (3.63) together with the definition of S_c, we obtain (recall that $J(x_k,\delta_{x_k})\ge c$ and $p>n-d$)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\substack{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}\\ Q^*_\varkappa\cap Q_k\neq\emptyset}}|Q_\varkappa|\Big(f_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa)\Big)^p\ge\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|Q_k|^{\frac{n-p}{n}}c\ge\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|Q_k|^{\frac{d}{n}}c\ge 50^{-d}c\varepsilon. \tag{3.64}$$

However, if the cubes $10Q_k$ are disjoint then by Lemma 2.4 for each $\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}$ the cube $Q^*_\varkappa$ can have a nonempty intersection with at most one cube Q_k. Indeed, if we assume that $Q^*_\varkappa\cap Q_k\neq\emptyset$ and $Q^*_\varkappa\cap Q_{k'}\neq\emptyset$ for some $k\neq k'$, then $\operatorname{dist}(Q_k,Q_{k'})\le\min\{\operatorname{diam}Q_k,\operatorname{diam}Q_{k'}\}$. Hence, we obtain the contradiction with $10Q_k\cap 10Q_{k'}=\emptyset$.

As a result, from (3.64) we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}\\ \operatorname{diam}Q_\varkappa<2\delta}}|Q_\varkappa|\Big(f_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa)\Big)^p\ge 50^{-d}c\varepsilon. \tag{3.65}$$

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda}[f]<\infty$, it follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}\\ \operatorname{diam}Q_\varkappa<2\delta}}|Q_\varkappa|\Big(f_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa)\Big)^p\to 0,\quad \delta\to 0. \tag{3.66}$$

Clearly, (3.66) contradicts (3.65).

Thus, we see that $\mathcal{H}^d(S_c)=0$ for arbitrary $c>0$. Consequently, $\mathcal{H}^d(\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}S_{\frac{1}{n}})=0$. However, if $x\in S'\setminus\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}S_{\frac{1}{n}}$ then obviously $J(x,r)\to 0$ as $r\to 0$. Combined with (3.57), (3.60), and (3.61), this implies that every point of the set $S'\setminus\bigcup\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}S_{\frac{1}{n}}$ is a Lebesgue point of the function F.

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is complete.

Remark 3.7. Presently the authors do not know whether it is possible to obtain (3.38) from the condition $\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[f]<\infty$. This question is not as simple as it may seem at first since (3.38) must hold for almost all points of S with respect to the d-dimensional Hausdorff measure, while the expression for $\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[f]$ involves integrals of a maximal function with respect to the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure.

Remark 3.8. As we noted above, while constructing the extension operator, we make a choice of Frostman measures. It is remarkable, however, that both the statement and proof of the theorem, as well as the constants occurring in the proof, are independent of the choice of Frostman measure for a particular cube. Thus, if S is an Ahlfors n-regular set then we can choose the classical Lebesgue measures (as noted already in Remark 2.6) as the measures $\mu_{Q(x,r)\cap S}$ with $x\in S$ and $r>0$. Hence, it is obvious that

$$C_1f^\sharp_{S,1}(x,r)\le f_S^\natural(x)\le C_2f^\sharp_{S,1}(x,2r) \tag{3.67}$$

for $x \in S$ and $r > 0$, as well as

$$f_S^\natural(Q(x,r)) \le C_2 f_{S,1}^\sharp(y, 3r), \quad y \in Q(x,r) \cap S. \tag{3.68}$$

In view of Lemma 2.9, this easily implies that

$$\mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda} \le C \| f_{S,3r}^\sharp(\cdot, 3) | L_p(S) \|.$$

In addition, almost every point $x \in S$ with respect to the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure is a Lebesgue point of the function $f \in L_p(S)$.

As a result, using Theorem 3.2 (note that in this case $d = n$ and (3.38) automatically follows from the assumption $f_S^\natural(\cdot, r) \in L_p(S)$), we see that in the case of an n-regular set S the function f lies in $\mathcal{J}(W_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n))|_S$ if and only if for some $r > 0$ it satisfies

$$\|f|L_p(S)\| + \|f_{S,1}^\sharp(\cdot, r)|L_p(S)\| < \infty$$

and in addition

$$\|f|L_p(S)\| + \|f_{S,1}^\sharp(\cdot, r)|L_p(S)\| \approx \|f|\mathcal{J}(W_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n))|_S\|.$$

This result coincides with a particular case of Theorem 1.2 of [46].

4 The Whitney Problem for Sobolev spaces. The case $m > 1$

Recall that by $\mathcal{P}_m$, for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we denote the linear space of all polynomials (in $\mathbb{R}^n$) of degree at most m, while $Q = Q(x, r)$ stands for a closed cube with edges parallel to coordinate axes.

Lemma 4.1. *Take a measurable subset A of a cube Q with $|A| > 0$. If $1 \le u_1, u_2 \le \infty$ and $P \in \mathcal{P}_m$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ then*

$$\frac{1}{|Q|^{u_1}} \|P|L_{u_1}(Q)\| \le C \frac{1}{|A|^{u_2}} \|P|L_{u_2}(A)\|,$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on n, m, and $|Q|/|A|$.

For a proof, see [2].

Corollary 4.1. *If two cubes Q_1 and Q_2 satisfy*

$$c^{-1}|Q_2| \le |Q_1| \le c|Q_2|, \quad \operatorname{dist}\{Q_1, Q_2\} \le c' \min\{r(Q_1), r(Q_2)\}$$

for some $c, c' > 0$ then for $P \in \mathcal{P}_m$ with $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $1 \le u_1, u_2 \le \infty$ we have

$$\frac{1}{C} \frac{1}{|Q_2|^{u_2}} \|P|L_{u_2}(Q_2)\| \le \frac{1}{|Q_1|^{u_1}} \|P|L_{u_1}(Q_1)\| \le C \frac{1}{|Q_2|^{u_2}} \|P|L_{u_2}(Q_2)\|,$$

where the constant $C > 0$ depends on c, c', and n, but is independent of $P \in \mathcal{P}_k$.

Proof. The assumptions on Q_1 and Q_2 easily imply that there exists a cube $Q \supset Q_1 \cup Q_2$ such that $\frac{1}{c''}|Q_i| \le |Q| \le c''|Q_i|$ for $i = 1, 2$ and some constant $c'' = c''(c, c', n)$. It remains to apply Lemma 4.1.

Recall that we introduced the definition of a Whitney m-field $\boldsymbol{P_m} := \{P_{m,x} : x \in S\}$ on S, an arbitrary subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, and related concepts in Section 1 of this article. Agree to express the polynomials $P_{m,x}$ for $x \in S$ as

$$P_{m,x}(y) = \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} f_\alpha(x)(y - x)^\alpha, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4.1}$$

For $d \in [0, n]$ and a fixed d-thick closed set S, assume that for $x \in S$ and $r \in (0, 1]$ the symbols $\overline{\mu}_{x,r}$ and $\overline{\mu}_{\varkappa}$ have the same meaning as in Section 3. Recall that $\mathcal{I}$ stands for the subset of indices in I corresponding to the cubes of side length at most 1.

Let us present a construction of extension operator naturally generalizing (3.4).

Definition 4.1. For $d \in [0, n]$ take a d-thick closed set S. Consider a Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S such that

$$\int\limits_{Q(\widetilde{x}_\varkappa, r_\varkappa)} f_\alpha(y)\, d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(y) < \infty$$

for all $\varkappa \in \mathcal{I}$ and α with $|\alpha| \le m - 1$. Put

$$\mathrm{Ext}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}](x) := F(x) := \chi_S(x) f_0(x) + \sum_{\varkappa \in \mathcal{I}} \varphi_\varkappa(x) (\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})_\varkappa(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})_\varkappa(x) := \int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa \cap S} P_{m-1,z}(x)\, d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

For a closed set S, a Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S, and a function $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S)$, define a Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{R_{m-1}} := \{R_{m-1,x} : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Namely, for each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ put

$$R_{m-1,x}(y) := \chi_S(x) P_{m-1,x}(y) + \sum_{\varkappa \in \mathcal{I}} \varphi_\varkappa(x) \Big(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\Big)_\varkappa(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4.3}$$

The following definition naturally generalizes Definition 3.3.

Definition 4.2. Fix a Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}} := \{P_{m-1,x}, x \in S\}$ on a d-thick closed set S, with $d \in [0, n]$. Put $f := f_0$ and consider the maximal function

$$(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})^\natural_S(x) :=$$
$$\sup \frac{1}{r_1^{m-|\alpha|}} \int\limits_{Q_1 \cap S} \Big| D_t^\alpha P_{m-1,y}(t)|_{t=y} - \int\limits_{Q_2 \cap S} D_t^\alpha P_{m-1,z}(t)|_{t=y}\, d\overline{\mu}_{Q_2 \cap S}(z) \Big|\, d\overline{\mu}_{Q_1 \cap S}(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{4.4}$$

where the supremum is over all cubes $Q_1 := Q(x_1, r_1)$ and $Q_2 := Q(x_2, r_2)$ containing the point $x \in S$ such that $x_1, x_2 \in S$ and $0 < r_1, r_2 \leq 1$ satisfy $2^{-1} \leq \frac{r_1}{r_2} \leq 2$.

More generally, for every cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of side length at most 1 put

$$(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})^\natural_S(Q) :=$$
$$\sup \frac{1}{r_1^{m-|\alpha|}} \int\limits_{Q_1 \cap S} \Big| D_t^\alpha P_{m-1,y}(t)|_{t=y} - \int\limits_{Q_2 \cap S} D_t^\alpha P_{m-1,z}(t)|_{t=y} \, d\overline{\mu}_{Q_2 \cap S}(z) \Big| \, d\overline{\mu}_{Q_1 \cap S}(y), \tag{4.5}$$

where the supremum is over all cubes $Q_1 := Q(x_1, r_1)$ and $Q_2 := Q(x_2, r_2)$ which include the cube Q such that $x_1, x_2 \in S$ and $0 < r_1, r_2 \leq 1$ satisfy $2^{-1} \leq \frac{r_1}{r_2} \leq 2$.

If no cubes Q_1 and Q_2 contain the point x (or include the cube Q) and satisfy the requirements above then put $(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})^\natural_S(x) := 0$ (or $(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})^\natural_S(Q) := 0$).

Remark 4.1. In the case $m = 1$ it is obvious that $(\boldsymbol{P_0})^\natural_S = f^\natural_S$.

It would be interesting to obtain an analog of Lemma 3.2 in the case $m > 1$, meaning to establish pointwise upper bounds on $\|x - y\|^{-m} |F(y) - P_{m-1,x}(y)|$ in terms of a function g for a suitable Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$. Instead, we obtain a slightly weaker result in Lemma 4.3, which nevertheless suffices to establish our extension theorem.

In order to prove the key Lemma 4.3, we start with the following technical lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *For a d-thick closed set S, with $d \in [0, n]$, take $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S such that*

$$\int\limits_{Q(x,r)} f_\alpha(z) \, d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(z) < \infty$$

for all $x \in S$, all $r \in (0, 1]$, and all multi-indices α satisfying $|\alpha| \leq m - 1$. Take $Q_i := Q_i(x_i, r_i)$ with $x_i \in S$ and $r_i \in (0, 1]$ for $i = 1, 2$ such that $Q_1 \cap Q_2 \neq \emptyset$ and $\frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{r_1}{r_2} \leq 2$. Then for every $r \geq r_1 + r_2$, for all $y \in Q(x, r) \supset Q_1 \cup Q_2$ and $z \in Q_1 \cap Q_2$ we have

$$\frac{1}{r^m} \Big| \int\limits_{Q_1 \cap S} P_{m-1,z'}(y) \, d\overline{\mu}_{x_1, \|x_2 - x_1\|}(z') - \int\limits_{Q_2 \cap S} P_{m-1,z''}(y) \, d\overline{\mu}_{x_2, \|x_2 - x_1\|}(z'') \Big|$$
$$\leq \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} (D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})^\natural_S(z). \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. For brevity, for $i = 1, 2$ put

$$K_{m-1,x_i}(y) := \int\limits_{Q_i \cap S} P_{m-1,z'}(y) \, d\overline{\mu}_{x_i, \|x_2 - x_1\|}(z'), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since K_{m-1,x_i} are polynomial functions of degree at most $m-1$, straightforward calculations for $i=1,2$ yield

$$(D_y^\alpha K_{m-1,x_i})(y) = \int\limits_{Q_i\cap S} (D_y^\alpha P_{m-1,z''})(y)\, d\overline{\mu}_{x_i,\|x_2-x_1\|}(z'')$$
$$= \sum_{|\beta|\le m-|\alpha|} \int\limits_{Q_i\cap S} f_{\alpha+\beta}(z'')\frac{(y-z'')^\beta}{\beta!}\, d\overline{\mu}_{x_i,\|x_2-x_1\|}(z''). \tag{4.7}$$

By Taylor's formula,

$$K_{m-1,x_i}(y) = \sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1} (D_y^\alpha K_{m-1,x_i})(y)|_{y=z}\frac{(y-z)^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \quad z\in\mathbb{R}^n. \tag{4.8}$$

Using the obvious estimate $|(z'-y)|^\alpha \le r^{|\alpha|}$ for $z'\in Q_1$, $y\in Q(x,r)\supset Q_1\cup Q_2$ $(r\ge r_1+r_2)$ we infer from (4.4), (4.7), and (4.8) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{r^m}\Big|\int\limits_{Q_1\cap S} P_{m-1,z'}(y)\, d\overline{\mu}_{x_1,\|x_2-x_1\|}(z') - \int\limits_{Q_2\cap S} P_{m-1,z''}(y)\, d\overline{\mu}_{x_2,\|x_2-x_1\|}(z'')\Big|\\
&\le \frac{1}{r^m}\int\limits_{Q_1\cap S}\Big|\sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1}\Big((D_y^\alpha P_{m-1,z'})(y)|_{y=z'} - D_y^\alpha K_{m-1,x_2}(y)|_{y=z'}\Big)\frac{(y-z')^\alpha}{\alpha!}\Big|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x_1,\|x_2-x_1\|}(z')\\
&\le \sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1}\frac{1}{r^{m-|\alpha|}}\int\limits_{Q_1\cap S}\Big|(D_y^\alpha P_{m-1,z'})(y)|_{y=z'} - D_y^\alpha K_{m-1,x_2}(y)|_{y=z'}\Big|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x_1,\|x_2-x_1\|}(z')\\
&\le \sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1}\frac{1}{r_1^{m-|\alpha|}}\int\limits_{Q_1\cap S}\Big|(D_y^\alpha P_{m-1,z'})(y)|_{y=z'} - \int\limits_{Q_2\cap S}(D_y^\alpha P_{m-1,z''})(y)|_{y=z'}\, d\overline{\mu}_{x_2,\|x_2-x_1\|}(z'')\Big|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x_1,\|x_2-x_1\|}(z')\\
&\le \sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1}(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})_S^\natural(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

The proof of Lemma 4.2 is complete.

Lemma 4.3. *For a d-thick closed set S, with $d\in[0,n]$, take $m\in\mathbb{N}$ and a Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S such that*

$$\int\limits_{Q(x,r)} f_\alpha(z)\, d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(z) < \infty$$

for all $x\in S$, all $r\in(0,1]$, and all multi-indices α satisfying $|\alpha|\le m-1$. In addition, suppose that

$$\lim_{r\to 0}\int\limits_{Q(x,r)\cap S} |f_\alpha(x)-f_\alpha(y)|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(y) = 0 \tag{4.10}$$

for every multi-index α with $|\alpha|\le m-1$ and almost all points $x\in S$ with respect to the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure. Then for every $\delta\in(0,\frac{1}{50})$ the function F defined in (4.2) satisfies the inequality

$$F_m^\sharp(x,\delta)\le CM[g](x), \quad x\in\mathbb{R}^n, \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$g(x)=\chi_S(x)\sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1}\big(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\big)^\natural_S(x)+$$
$$+\sum_{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}}\chi_{Q_\varkappa}(x)\sum_{\varkappa'\in b(\varkappa)}\sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1}\Big(\big(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\big)^\natural_S(\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'})+\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'}}|f_\alpha(z)|\,d\overline{\mu}_{\varkappa'}(z)\Big). \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. The proof is conceptually similar to that of Lemma 3.2. Sometimes we omit the details which repeat the corresponding arguments of that proof.

Step 1. Take $x\in S$ and $y\in S$ with $\|y-x\|<\delta$ and $\delta\in(0,\frac{1}{50})$. Put $Q_1:=Q(y,\|x-y\|)$ and $Q_2:=Q(x,\|x-y\|)$. Take the Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{R_{m-1}}$ defined in (4.3). Clearly,

$$|F(y)-R_{m-1,x}(y)|=|F(y)-P_{m-1,x}(y)|\le\Big|F(y)-\int\limits_{Q_1\cap S}P_{m-1,z'}(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{y,\|z-y\|}(z')\Big|$$
$$+\Big|P_{m-1,x}(y)-\int\limits_{Q_2\cap S}P_{m-1,z''}(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{x,\|z-y\|}(z'')\Big|$$
$$+\Big|\int\limits_{Q_1\cap S}P_{m-1,z'}(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{y,\|x-y\|}(z')-\int\limits_{Q_2\cap S}P_{m-1,z''}(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{x,\|x-y\|}(z'')\Big|. \tag{4.13}$$

Observe that

$$\lim_{r\to0}\int\limits_{Q(x,r)\cap S}P_{m-1,z}(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(z)=P_{m-1,x}(y) \tag{4.14}$$

for almost all points $x\in S$ with respect to the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure and all $y\in\mathbb{R}^n$. Indeed, (4.10) yields

$$\lim_{r\to0}\int\limits_{Q(x,r)\cap S}f_\alpha(z)\,d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(z)=f_\alpha(x)<\infty \tag{4.15}$$

for almost all points $x\in S$ with respect to the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure and all multi-indices with $|\alpha|\le m-1$. Now (4.15) and (4.1) obviously imply (4.14).

Recall that $r(Q_1)=\|x-y\|$ and $f(y)\equiv f_0(y)$. Using (4.14) and Lemma 4.2, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(r(Q_1))^m}\Big|f(y)-\int\limits_{Q_1\cap S}P_{m-1,z'}(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{y,\|x-y\|}(z')\Big|$$
$$\le\frac{1}{\|x-y\|^m}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\Big|\int\limits_{Q(y,\frac{\|x-y\|}{2^{j+1}})\cap S}P_{m-1,z'}(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{y,\frac{\|x-y\|}{2^{j+1}}}(z')-\int\limits_{Q(y,\frac{\|x-y\|}{2^{j}})\cap S}P_{m-1,z''}(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{y,\frac{\|x-y\|}{2^{j}}}(z'')\Big|$$
$$\le\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1}2^{-j(m-|\alpha|)}(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})^\natural_S(y)\le C\sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1}(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})^\natural_S(y). \tag{4.16}$$

Similarly, (4.14) yields

$$\frac{1}{(r(Q_1))^m}\Big|P_{m-1,x}(y) - \int\limits_{Q_2\cap S} P_{m-1,z'}(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{x,\|x-y\|}(z')\Big| \le C\sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1}(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})^\natural_S(x). \tag{4.17}$$

In order to estimate the third term in the right-hand side of (4.13), use Lemma 4.2. Hence, combining this with (4.13), (4.16) and (4.17), while using the definition of the function g in (4.12), we arrive at

$$\Big|F(y) - R_{m-1,x}(y)\Big| \le C\|x-y\|^m\big(g(y)+g(x)\big). \tag{4.18}$$

Step 2. Take $x\in S$ and $y\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus S$ with $\|x-y\|<\delta$. Assume that $\delta\in(0,\frac{1}{50})$.

Recall that we defined the symbol $b(y)$ after Lemma 2.5. Arguing as for (3.26), for the Whitney $(m-1)$-field defined in (4.3) we obviously find

$$\Big|F(y) - R_{m-1,x}(y)\Big| = \Big|F(y) - P_{m-1,x}(y)\Big| \le \sum_{\varkappa\in b(y)}\Big|\big(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\big)_\varkappa(y) - P_{m-1,x}(y)\Big|. \tag{4.19}$$

Fix $\varkappa\in b(y)$ and consider the cube $Q=Q(x,r)$ with $r=2\max\{\|x-\widetilde{x}_\varkappa\|,\operatorname{diam}(Q_\varkappa)\}$. Then $r<1$ for every $\delta\in(0,\frac{1}{50})$ and $\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa\subset Q(x,r)$. Moreover, $r<C\|x-y\|$ with $C>0$ independent of x and y. Indeed, this easily follows from Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 because $\operatorname{dist}(y,S)\le\|x-y\|$. Hence, arguing as in (4.13) and (4.16), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\|x-y\|^m}\Big|\big(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\big)_\varkappa(y) - P_{m-1,x}(y)\Big| \\
&\le \frac{C}{r^m}\Big|\big(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\big)_\varkappa(y) - \int\limits_{Q(x,r)\cap S} P_{m-1,z}(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{x,\|x-y\|}(z)\Big| + \\
&+ \frac{C}{r^m}\Big|\int\limits_{Q(x,r)\cap S} P_{m-1,z}(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{x,\|x-y\|}(z) - P_{m-1,x}(y)\Big| \\
&\le C\sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1}\left(\Big(D^\alpha\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\Big)^\natural_S(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa) + \Big(D^\alpha\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\Big)^\natural_S(x)\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

From (4.12), (4.19) and (4.20) for $x\in S$ and $y\in\mathbb{R}^n\setminus S$ with $0\le\|x-y\|<\delta<\frac{1}{50}$ we obtain

$$\Big|F(y) - R_{m-1,x}(y)\Big| \le C\|x-y\|^m\big(g(y)+g(x)\big). \tag{4.21}$$

Step 3. It follows from (4.18) and (4.21) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}_m(F,Q(x,r)) &\le \frac{1}{|Q(x,r)|}\int\limits_{Q(x,r)}\Big|F(y) - R_{m-1,x}(y)\Big|\,dy \\
&\le Cr^m M[g](x)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

for almost all points $x \in S$ with respect to the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure and $0 < r < \delta < \frac{1}{50}$. Consequently, for almost all (with respect to the n-dimensional Lebesgue measure) $x \in S$ and arbitrary $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{50})$ we conclude that

$$F_m^\sharp(x,\delta) \le CM[g](x). \tag{4.23}$$

Step 4. Take $y \in S$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$ with $\|x-y\| < \delta$ and $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{50})$. By analogy with (4.20), we have

$$\Big|F(y) - R_{m-1,x}(y)\Big| \le \sum_{\varkappa \in b(x)} \Big|F(y) - \big(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\big)_\varkappa(y)\Big|. \tag{4.24}$$

However, $F(y) = P_{m-1,y}(y)$ for $y \in S$. Consequently, for every $\varkappa \in b(x)$, arguing as for the estimate (4.20), we arrive at

$$\Big|F(y) - \big(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\big)_\varkappa(y)\Big| \le \sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1} \left(\Big(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\Big)_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa) + \Big(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\Big)_S^\natural(y)\right). \tag{4.25}$$

Combining (4.24) and (4.25), we find that in this case

$$\Big|F(y) - R_{m-1,x}(y)\Big| \le C\|x-y\|^m \big(g(y)+g(x)\big). \tag{4.26}$$

Step 5. Suppose that $x \in U_{\frac{1}{25}}(S) \setminus S$ and $y \in Q(x,r) \setminus S$ with $\frac{1}{40}\operatorname{dist}(x,S) \le r \le \delta \in (0, \frac{1}{50})$. By analogy with (3.29), we infer from (4.2) that

$$|F(y) - R_{m-1,x}(y)| \le \sum_{\varkappa \in b(x)} \sum_{\varkappa' \in b(y)} \Big|\big(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\big)_\varkappa(y) - \big(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\big)_{\varkappa'}(y)\Big|. \tag{4.27}$$

Fix some indices $\varkappa \in b(x)$ and $\varkappa' \in b(y)$. Putting $r' = 2\max\{\|\widetilde{x}_\varkappa - \widetilde{x}_{\varkappa'}\|, \operatorname{diam} Q_\varkappa, \operatorname{diam} Q_{\varkappa'}\}$, observe that, in this particular subcase, (2.12) implies that $r' < Cr$ with the constant independent of x and y, as well as $\varkappa$ and $\varkappa'$. In addition, since $x \in U_{\frac{1}{25}}(S)$ and $r < \frac{1}{50}$, it is not difficult to show that $r' < 1$. Since $\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa, \widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'} \subset Q = Q(\widetilde{x}_\varkappa, r')$, arguing as in step 1, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{r^m}\Big|\big(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\big)_\varkappa(y) - \big(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\big)_{\varkappa'}(y)\Big| \le \\
&\frac{C}{(r')^m}\Big|\big(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\big)_\varkappa(y) - \int\limits_{Q\cap S} P_{m-1,z}(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{\widetilde{x}_\varkappa,r'}(z)\Big| + \frac{C}{(r')^m}\Big|\int\limits_{Q\cap S} P_{m-1,z}(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{\widetilde{x}_\varkappa,r'}(z) - \big(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\big)_{\varkappa'}(y)\Big| \\
&\le C \sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1} \left(\Big(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\Big)_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa) + \Big(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\Big)_S^\natural(\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'})\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

In this case from (4.27), (4.28), and (4.12) we obtain

$$|F(y) - R_{m-1,x}(y)| \le Cr^m\big(g(x)+g(y)\big). \tag{4.29}$$

Step 6. For $x \in U_{\frac{1}{25}}(S) \setminus S$ and $r \geq \frac{1}{40}\operatorname{dist}(x,S)$ the estimates (4.26) and (4.29) yield

$$\frac{1}{r^m}\mathcal{E}_m(F,Q(x,r)) \leq \frac{r^{-m}}{|Q(x,r)|}\int\limits_{Q(x,r)} |F(y)-R_{m-1,x}(y)|\,dy$$

$$\leq Cg(x)+CM[g](x) \leq CM[g](x). \tag{4.30}$$

Suppose now that $x \in U_{\frac{1}{25}}(S)\setminus S$ and $r<\delta$ for some $\delta \in (0,\frac{1}{50})$ and $r<\frac{1}{40}\operatorname{dist}(x,S)$. Put $r'=2\max\{\max\{\operatorname{diam}Q_\varkappa, \|\widetilde{x}-\widetilde{x}_\varkappa\|\} : Q^*_\varkappa \cap Q \neq \emptyset\}$ for $Q=Q(x,r)$. It is easy to see then that $r'<1$ and $\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa \subset Q(\widetilde{x},r')$ for every $Q^*_\varkappa \cap Q \neq \emptyset$. Then, using (4.2) and arguing as in the proof of Lemma 3.15 of [46], we infer that

$$\mathcal{E}_m(F,Q(x,r)) \leq Cr^m \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha F|L_\infty(Q)\|$$

$$\leq C\left(\frac{r}{\operatorname{dist}(x,S)}\right)^m \sum_{\substack{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}\\ Q^*_\varkappa\cap Q\neq\emptyset}} \sup_{y\in Q}\Big|(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})_\varkappa(y) - \int\limits_{Q(\widetilde{x},r')} P_{m-1,z}(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{\widetilde{x},r'}(z)\Big|. \tag{4.31}$$

Let us fix an index $\varkappa \in \mathcal{I}$ for which $Q^*_\varkappa \cap Q \neq \emptyset$. Observe now that we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(x,S)}\right)^m \sup_{y\in Q(\widetilde{x},r')}\Big|(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})_\varkappa(y) - \int\limits_{Q(\widetilde{x},r')} P_{m-1,z}(y)\,d\overline{\mu}_{\widetilde{x},r'}(z)\Big| \leq C\Big(\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\Big)^\natural_S(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa). \tag{4.32}$$

By (4.30), (4.31), and (4.32) we conclude for $x \in U_{\frac{1}{25}}(S)$ and $0<\delta<50^{-1}$ that

$$F^\sharp_m(x,r) \leq Cg(x), \quad x \in U_{\frac{1}{25}}(S). \tag{4.33}$$

Step 7. Suppose now that $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus U_{\frac{1}{25}}(S)$ and $0<r\leq\delta<\frac{1}{50}$. By Lemma 3.14 of [46], using (4.2) and Lemma 2.6, we see that

$$\mathcal{E}_m(F,Q(x,r)) \leq Cr^m \sum_{|\alpha|=m} \|D^\alpha F|L_\infty(Q)\|$$

$$\leq Cr^m \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m} \sum_{\substack{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}\\ Q^*_\varkappa\cap Q\neq\emptyset}} \int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa} |f_\alpha(z)|\,d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z). \tag{4.34}$$

Consequently,

$$F^\sharp_m(x,\delta) \leq Cg(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus U_{\frac{1}{25}}(S). \tag{4.35}$$

From (4.23), (4.33), and (4.35) we obtain (4.11). The proof of Lemma 4.3 is complete.

Lemma 4.4. *Take a cube Q in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and two sets $A_1, A_2 \subset Q$ of positive n-dimensional Lebesgue measure. Then for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ every function $F \in W^m_1(\operatorname{int}2Q)$ satisfies*

$$\sup_{x\in Q}\frac{1}{|A_2|}\int\limits_{A_2}\Big|T_{m-1,y}[F](x)\,dy - \frac{1}{|A_1|}\int\limits_{A_1} T_{m-1,z}[F](x)\,dz\Big| \leq$$

$$\leq C(m,n)\frac{r^{m-1}(Q)}{\min\{|A_1|,|A_2|\}} \sum_{0<|\beta|\leq m} \operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{conv}(A_1\cup A_2))\|D^\beta F|L_1(\operatorname{conv}(A_1\cup A_2))\|, \tag{4.36}$$

where $\operatorname{conv}(A_1 \cup A_2)$ *stands for the convex hull of the set* $A_1 \cup A_2$.

Proof The idea of the proof of Lemma 4.4 is standard. We omit some straightforward details. Using the density of smooth functions in the Sobolev space (see Theorem 2.1.4 of [56] for instance), we conclude that it suffices to verify the claim for $F \in C^\infty(Q)$.

The key observation is that the operator

$$\frac{1}{|A_i|}\int\limits_{A_i} T_{m-1,z}[F](x)\,dz, \text{ for } i = 1, 2,$$

is the projection onto the space of polynomials of degree $m-1$. Hence, for all $x \in Q$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{|A_2|}\int\limits_{A_2}\Big|T_{m-1,y}[F](x) - \frac{1}{|A_1|}\int\limits_{A_1} T_{m-1,z}[F](x)\,dz\Big|\,dy = \\
&\leq \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m-1}\frac{1}{\alpha!|A_2|}\int\limits_{A_2}\|x-y\|^\alpha\Big|D^\alpha F(y) - D_x^\alpha\Big(\frac{1}{|A_1|}\int\limits_{A_1} T_{m-1,z}[F](x)\,dz\Big)|_{x=y}\Big|\,dy. \qquad (4.37)
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
&D^\alpha F(y) - D_x^\alpha\Big(\frac{1}{|A_1|}\int\limits_{A_1} T_{m-1,z}[F](x)\,dz\Big)|_{x=y} = \\
&= \frac{1}{|A_1|}\int\limits_{A_1}\Big(D^\alpha F(y) - \sum_{|\beta|\leq m-1-|\alpha|}\frac{(y-z)^\beta}{\beta!}D^\beta(D^\alpha F)(z)\Big)\,dz. \qquad (4.38)
\end{aligned}$$

Now we apply Taylor's formula with the integral form of the remainder to $D^\alpha f$ in the integrand in the right-hand side of (4.38) and continue the estimate. For every $|\alpha| \leq m-1$ standard arguments yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|D^\alpha F(y) - D_x^\alpha\Big(\frac{1}{|A_1|}\int\limits_{A_1} T_{m-1,z}[F](x)\,dz\Big)|_{x=y}\Big| \\
&\leq C(n,m)\frac{(\operatorname{diam}(\operatorname{conv}(A_1\cup A_2))^{m-|\alpha|}}{|A_1|}\sum_{0<|\beta|\leq m}\int\limits_{A_1}\int\limits_0^1(1-t)^{m-1-|\alpha|}|D^\beta F(z+t(y-z))|\,dt\,dz, \qquad (4.39)
\end{aligned}$$

where $Q(A)$ is the smallest cube Q which includes A.

Substituting (4.39) into (4.37) and using standard arguments, we arrive at (4.36).

This proves Lemma 4.4.

Remark 4.2. Lemma 4.4 enables us to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. Since the first claim of Theorem 1.1 holds, for almost all points $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to the measure $\mathcal{H}^d$ we have

$$J(x,r) = \frac{r^{1-m}}{|Q(x,r)|}\sup_{z\in Q(x,r)}\int\limits_{Q(x,r)}\Big|T_{m-1,y}[F](z) - \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m-1}F_\alpha(x)\frac{(z-x)^\alpha}{\alpha!}\Big|\,dy$$

$$\leq \frac{r^{1-m}}{|Q(x,r)|}\sup_{z\in Q(x,r)}\int\limits_{Q(x,r)}\Big|T_{m-1,y}[F](z)-\frac{1}{|Q_1|}\int\limits_{Q_1}T_{m-1,y'}[F](z)\,dy'\Big|\,dy$$

$$+r^{1-m}\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sup_{z\in Q(x,r)}\Big|\frac{1}{|Q_j|}\int\limits_{Q_j}T_{m-1,y}[F](z)\,dy-\frac{1}{|Q_{j+1}|}\int\limits_{Q_{j+1}}T_{m-1,y'}[F](z)\,dy'\Big|,$$

where the sequence $\{Q_j\}$ of cubes satisfies $Q(x,r):=Q_0\supset Q_1\supset ...\supset Q_j\supset ...$ with $\bigcap\limits_{j=0}^{\infty}Q_j=x$ and $|Q_{j+1}|\geq 2^{-n}|Q_j|$. Hence, using Lemma 4.4 and Hölder's inequality with exponents q and q', where $q\in(\max\{1,n-d\},\infty)$, for sufficiently small $\delta\in(0,1)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J(x,r)&\leq\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}r\frac{r(Q_j)}{r}\frac{1}{|Q_j|}\int\limits_{Q_j}\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}|D^{\alpha}F(y)|\,dy\\
&\leq\sup_j r\left(\frac{r(Q_j)}{r}\right)^{1-\delta}\frac{1}{|Q_j|}\int\limits_{Q_j}\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}|D^{\alpha}F(y)|\,dy\leq r^{\delta}\sup_j\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\left(\frac{(r(Q_j))^{q(1-\delta)}}{|Q_j|}\int\limits_{Q_j}|D^{\alpha}F(y)|^q\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\\
&\leq r^{\delta}\sup_j\sum_{|\alpha|\leq m}\left(\frac{1}{(r(Q_j))^{d'}}\int\limits_{Q_j}|D^{\alpha}F(y)|^q\,dy\right)^{\frac{1}{q}},
\end{aligned}\tag{4.40}$$

where $d'<d$. However, then Corollary 2.1 yields $J(x,r)\to 0$ as $r\to 0$ for almost all points $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to the measure $\mathcal{H}^d$. This proves (1.3).

The arguments below rely on the next lemma, which can be regarded as a generalized Poincaré inequality.

Lemma 4.5. *For $d\in[0,n]$ take a d-thick closed set S. For $p\in(\max\{1,n-d\},\infty]$ and $m\in\mathbb{N}$ take a function $F\in W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, given a cube $Q=Q(x,r)$ with $x\in S$ and $r\in(0,1]$, for $q\in(\max\{1,n-d\},p)$ and every $y\in Q(x,r)$ the following inequality*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int\limits_{Q\cap S}\Big|T_{m-1,z}[F](y)-\frac{1}{|Q|}\int\limits_{Q}T_{m-1,z'}[F](y)\,dz'\Big|\,d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(z)\\
&\leq Cr^m\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int\limits_{Q}\sum_{|\alpha|=m}|D^{\alpha}F(x)|^q\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
\end{aligned}\tag{4.41}$$

holds. The constant $C>0$ is independent of the function F.

Proof. We only sketch the proof because its main ideas repeat that of Lemma 3.1. By Theorem 1.1, for almost all $z\in Q(x,r)\cap S$ with respect to the Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^d$, and hence (we

use (3.3)), for almost all $z \in Q(x,r) \cap S$ with respect to the measure $\overline{\mu}_{x,r}$ we have

$$T_{m-1,z}[F](y) = \lim_{Q' \ni y} \frac{1}{|Q'|} \int\limits_{Q'} T_{m-1,z'}[F](y)\, dz', y \in Q(x,r). \tag{4.42}$$

The limit in (4.42) is taken over any sequence of nested cubes with vanishing diameters. Consequently, for almost all points $z \in Q(x,r) \cap S$ with respect to the measure $\overline{\mu}_{x,r}$ and all $y \in Q(x,r)$ we see, keeping the same notation as in the proof of Lemma 3.1, that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|T_{m-1,z}[F](y) - \frac{1}{|Q(x,r)|} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)} T_{m-1,z'}[F](y)\, dz'\Big| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \Big|\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi_j)|} \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi_j)} T_{m-1,z'}[F](y)\, dz' - \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi_{j+1})|} \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi_{j+1})} T_{m-1,z'}[F](y)\, dz'\Big|.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.43}$$

By Lemma 4.4, for all $\xi, \xi' \in V(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}_Q})$ with $\rho(\xi,\xi') = 1$ and $\xi' \succ \xi$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)|} \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)} T_{m-1,z'}[F](y)\, dz' - \frac{1}{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi')|} \int\limits_{\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi')} T_{m-1,z'}[F](y)\, dz'\Big| \\
&\leq C \frac{r^m}{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)|} \frac{r(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))}{r} \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} \|D^\beta F | L_1(\boldsymbol{Q}_\xi)\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.44}$$

Arguing as for (3.13), we infer from (4.43) and (4.44) that for arbitrary $y \in Q(x,r)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{r^m} \int\limits_{Q \cap S} \Big|T_{m-1,z}[F](y) - \frac{1}{Q} \int\limits_{Q} T_{m-1,z'}[F](y)\, dz'\Big|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(z) \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\xi \in V^j(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}_Q})} \frac{r(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))}{r} \frac{\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi))}{|\boldsymbol{Q}(\xi)|} \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} \|D^\beta F | L_1(\boldsymbol{Q}_\xi)\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.45}$$

The rest of the argument repeats almost verbatim the proof of Lemma 3.1. We omit the details.

For $d \in [0,n]$ take a d-thick closed set S. Assume that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in (1,\infty)$, and $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{10})$. For a Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S, put

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}]\Big)^p := \\
&\sup \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu} |Q_{j,\nu}| \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} \Big((D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})^\natural_S(Q_{j,\nu}) + \int\limits_{Q_{j,\nu} \cap S} |f_\alpha(z)|\, d\overline{\mu}_{Q_{j,\nu} \cap S}(z)\Big)^p,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.46}$$

where the supremum is over all systems of packings (on S) of λ-porous cubes $\{Q_{j,\nu}\}$.

For $d \in [0,n]$ take a d-thick closed set S. Assume that $p \in (1,\infty)$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For a Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S, put

$$\mathcal{SN}_p[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}] := \|f | L_p(S)\| + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m-1} \|(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})^\natural_S | L_p(S)\|. \tag{4.47}$$

Finally, under the above assumptions put

$$\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}] := \mathcal{SN}_p[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}] + \mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}]. \tag{4.48}$$

Remark 4.3. The functionals $\mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda}$, $\mathcal{SN}_p$, and $\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}$ have values in $[0,+\infty]$. Below we establish that these functionals are finite on $\mathcal{J}\big(W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)\big)|_S$.

Now we can state the main result of this section. For the reader's convenience, we recall that $\dim_H S \geq d$ for all d-thick sets S.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < p \leq \infty$, and $d \in [0,n]$ with $d > n-p$. Take a d-thick closed subset S of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{10})$. Then $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}} \in \mathcal{J}\big(W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)\big)|_S$ if and only if*

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \frac{1}{r^{m-1}} \sup_{y\in Q(x,r)} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)\cap S} \Big|P_{m-1,z}(y) - P_{m-1,x}(y)\Big|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(z) = 0, \quad |\alpha| \leq m-1, \quad x \in S' \tag{4.49}$$

for some $S' \subset S$ with $\mathcal{H}^d(S \setminus S') = 0$ and

$$\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}] := \mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}] + \mathcal{SN}_p[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}] < \infty.$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}] \sim \|\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}|\mathcal{J}\big(W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)\big)|_S\|, \tag{4.50}$$

the operator Ext *constructed in* (4.2) *is a bounded linear operator from $\mathcal{J}\big(W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)\big)|_S$ to $W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and the function F d-agrees with $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S.*

The constants of equivalence in (4.50) *and the operator norm of* Ext *depend only on p, n, m, λ and d.*

Proof. We consider the case $p < \infty$. The case $p = \infty$ is similar (and even technically simpler). Split the proof into two parts.

Necessity. If $F \in W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $D^\alpha F \in W_p^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for every $\alpha, |\alpha| \leq m-1$. Hence, by Theorem 1.1, for each function $F \in W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there exists a set $S' \subset S$ such that $\mathcal{H}^d(S \setminus S') = 0$ and every $x \in S'$ is a Lebesgue point of (the appropriate representative of) the function $D^\alpha F$, where $|\alpha| \leq m-1$. Put $f_\alpha(x) = D^\alpha F(x)$ for all $x \in S'$ and $|\alpha| \leq m-1$. Extend the function f_α by zero on $S \setminus S'$. Consider the Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}} = \{P_{m-1,x} : x \in S\}$ with

$$P_{m-1,x}(y) = \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m-1} f_\alpha(x) \frac{(y-x)^\alpha}{\alpha!}, \quad x \in S, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{4.51}$$

and verify the inequality

$$\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}] \leq C\|F|W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)\|, \tag{4.52}$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of the function F.

Indeed, by (4.4), for every multi-index α with $|\alpha| \le m-1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})^\natural_S(x) &\le \sup_{Q_1 \ni x} \frac{1}{(r(Q_1))^{m-|\alpha|}} \int\limits_{Q_1 \cap S} \Big| D^\alpha F(z) - \frac{1}{|Q_1|} \int\limits_{Q_1} T_{m-1-|\alpha|,y}[D^\alpha F](z)\, dy \Big|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x_1,r_1}(z) \\
&+ \sup_{Q_2 \ni x} \frac{1}{(r(Q_2))^{m-|\alpha|}} \int\limits_{Q_2 \cap S} \Big| T_{m-1-|\alpha|,z'}[D^\alpha F](z) - \frac{1}{|Q_2|} \int\limits_{Q_2} T_{m-1-|\alpha|,y'}[D^\alpha F](z)\, dy' \Big|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x_2,r_2}(z') \\
&+ \sup_{Q_1, Q_2 \ni x} \Big| \frac{1}{|Q_1|} \int\limits_{Q_1} T_{m-1-|\alpha|,y}[D^\alpha F](z)\, dy - \frac{1}{|Q_2|} \int\limits_{Q_2} T_{m-1-|\alpha|,y'}[D^\alpha F](z)\, dy' \Big|,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.53}
$$

where the suprema in the right-hand side are over the cubes $Q_1 := Q_1(x_1, r_1)$ and $Q_2 := Q_2(x_2, r_2)$ satisfying the same conditions as in Definition 4.2.

Fixing $q \in (\max\{1, n-d\}, p)$ arbitrarily, use Lemma 4.5 to estimate the first and second terms in (4.53). To estimate the third term, apply Lemma 4.4 and Hölder inequality. Putting $g = \sum\limits_{|\alpha| \le m} |D^\alpha F|^q$, we arrive at

$$
(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})^\natural_S(x) \le C \sup_{\substack{Q \ni x \\ r(Q) \le 2}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int\limits_Q \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} |D^\alpha F(y)|^q\, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \le C \big(M[g](x) \big)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{4.54}
$$

By Theorem 2.1 (with $\gamma \equiv 1$), this yields

$$
\mathcal{SN}_p[f] \le C \| F | W^m_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \|. \tag{4.55}
$$

Let us now estimate $\mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}]$. By analogy with (4.54), for every cube $Q = Q(x, r)$ with $x \in S$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ we can establish the estimate

$$
(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})^\natural_S(Q) \le C \sup_{\substack{Q' \supset Q \\ r(Q) \le r(Q') \le 2}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q'|} \int\limits_{Q'} \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} |D^\alpha F(y)|^q\, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{4.56}
$$

For a λ-porous cube $Q = Q(x, r)$ with $x \in S$ and $r \in (0, 1)$ this yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
|Q| \Big((D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})^\natural_S(Q) \Big)^p &\le C |\widehat{Q}| \sup_{\substack{Q' \supset Q \\ r(Q) \le r(Q') \le 2}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q'|} \int\limits_{Q'} \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} |D^\alpha F(y)|^q\, dy \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} \\
&\le C \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} \int\limits_{\frac{1}{2}\widehat{Q}} \Big(M[g](x) \Big)^{\frac{p}{q}}\, dx,
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.57}
$$

where $\widehat{Q} \subset Q \setminus S$ is a cube with $|\widehat{Q}| = \lambda |Q|$, while the symbol g has the same meaning as in (4.54).

By Lemma 3.1, for every λ-porous cube Q and all α satisfying $|\alpha| \le m-1$ we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|Q| \left(\int\limits_{Q \cap S} |D^\alpha F(z)| \, d\overline{\mu}_{Q \cap S}(z) \right)^p \\
&\le C |\widehat{Q}| \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int\limits_Q |D^\alpha F(y)| \, dy + \int\limits_{Q \cap S} \Big| D^\alpha F(z) - \frac{1}{|Q|} \int\limits_Q D^\alpha F(y) \, dy \Big| \, d\overline{\mu}_{Q \cap S}(z) \right)^p \\
&\le C \sum_{|\beta| \le |\alpha|+1} \int\limits_{\frac{1}{2}\widehat{Q}} \Big(M[|D^\beta F|^q](x) \Big)^{\frac{p}{q}} \, dx. \qquad (4.58)
\end{aligned}
$$

Arguing as for (3.49), while using (3.48), (4.57), and (4.58), we obtain

$$
\mathcal{BN}_{p,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}] \le C \|F | W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)\|. \qquad (4.59)
$$

Clearly, (4.55) and (4.59) imply (4.52).

It remains to establish (4.49). We have (we use (4.51))

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{r^{m-1}} \sup_{z' \in Q(x,r)} \int\limits_{Q(x,r) \cap S} \Big| P_{m-1,z}[F](z') - P_{m-1,x}[F](z') \Big| \, d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(z) \\
&= \frac{1}{r^{m-1}} \sup_{z' \in Q(x,r)} \int\limits_{Q(x,r) \cap S} \Big| T_{m-1,z}[F](z') - T_{m-1,x}[F](z') \Big| \, d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(z) \\
&\le \frac{1}{r^{m-1}} \sup_{z' \in Q(x,r)} \Big| T_{m-1,x}[F](z') - \frac{1}{|Q(x,r)|} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)} T_{m-1,y}[F](z') \, dy \Big| + \\
&+ \frac{1}{r^{m-1}} \sup_{z' \in Q(x,r)} \int\limits_{Q(x,r) \cap S} \Big| T_{m-1,z}[F](z') - \frac{1}{|Q(x,r)|} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)} T_{m-1,y}[F](z') \, dy \Big| \, d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(z) \\
&= J_1(x,r) + J_2(x,r). \qquad (4.60)
\end{aligned}
$$

For $q \in (\max\{1, n-d\}, \infty)$ Lemma 4.5 yields

$$
(J_2(x,r))^q \le \frac{r^q}{|Q(x,r)|} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)} \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} |D^\alpha F(y)|^q \, dy \le \frac{1}{r^{d'}} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)} \sum_{|\alpha| \le m} |D^\alpha F(y)|^q \, dy, \qquad (4.61)
$$

where $d' = d'(q) < d$. By Corollary 2.1, this implies that $J_2(x,r) \to 0$ as $r \to 0$ for almost all points $x \in S$ with respect to the measure $\mathcal{H}^d$.

Theorem 1.1 shows that $J_1(x,r) \to 0$ as $r \to 0$.

This proves necessity.

Sufficiency. Assume that $\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}] < \infty$. It is then obvious that $(P_{m-1})_\varkappa < \infty$ for all $\varkappa \in \mathcal{I}$. Consequently, (4.2) provides a well-defined function $F \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S)$.

Verify firstly that $F \in W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|F|W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)\| \le C\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}], \tag{4.62}$$

where the constant $C > 0$ is independent of the Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S.

To this end, estimate firstly $\|g|L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\|$ for the function g of (4.12). It is obvious that

$$\|g|L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\| = \|g|L_p(S)\| + \|g|L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S)\|. \tag{4.63}$$

Directly from (4.12) we find that

$$\|g|L_p(S)\| \le \sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1} \|\big(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\big)_S^\natural |L_p(S)\|. \tag{4.64}$$

Now raise (4.12) to power p and integrate over $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S$, considering that $\varkappa' \in b(\varkappa)$ only for a finite, and independent of $\varkappa'$, number of indices $\varkappa \in I$. By analogy with (3.54), we see that

$$\|g|L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S)\|^p \le \sum_{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}} |Q_\varkappa| \sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1} \Big(\big(D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\big)_S^\natural (\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'}) + \int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa} |f_\alpha(z)|\, d\overline{\mu}_\varkappa(z) \Big)^p. \tag{4.65}$$

From (4.65), using Lemma 2.7 and then Theorem 2.2, we infer that

$$\|g|L_p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus S)\| \le C\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}]. \tag{4.66}$$

Arguing as for (3.56), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} &\|F|L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)\|^p \\ &\le \|F|L_p(S)\| + C\sum_{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I}} |Q_\varkappa| \sum_{\varkappa'\in\mathcal{I}\cap b(\varkappa)} \Big(\int\limits_{\widetilde{Q}_{\varkappa'}\cap S} |f_\alpha(z)|\, d\overline{\mu}_{\varkappa'}(z) \Big)^p \\ &\le C\Big(\mathcal{N}_{p,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}]\Big)^p. \end{aligned} \tag{4.67}$$

Applying Lemmas 4.3, 2.1, and 2.2, as well as Theorem 2.1 (with $\gamma \equiv 1$), from (4.63), (4.64), (4.66), and (4.67) we obtain (4.62).

To complete the proof of Theorem 4.1, we should show that the function F satisfies (1.4).

Argue as in the proof of Corollary 5.7 of [10]. Take $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ supported on the unit cube with $\int\limits_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(y)\, dy = 1$ and put $\psi_\varepsilon(x) := \varepsilon^{-n}\psi(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$. We have already established that $F \in W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$; consequently, according to Theorem 1.1, we may assume that

$$D^\alpha F(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} D^\alpha F * \psi_\varepsilon(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} F * D^\alpha \psi_\varepsilon(x) \tag{4.68}$$

for almost all points x with respect to the measure $\mathcal{H}^d$ and $|\alpha| \le m-1$. Hence, using the equality $\lim\limits_{\varepsilon\to 0} D^\alpha P_{x,m-1} * \psi_\varepsilon(y) = D^\alpha P_{x,m-1}(y)$ and the property $D^\alpha_y P_{x,m-1}(y)|_{y=x} = f_\alpha(x)$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
&|D^\alpha F(x) - f_\alpha(x)| = |D^\alpha F(x) - D^\alpha_y P_{x,m-1}(y)|_{y=x}| \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} |(F - P_{x,m-1}) * D^\alpha \psi_\varepsilon(x)| \\
&\le \limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n+|\alpha|}} \int\limits_{Q(x,\varepsilon)} |F(y) - P_{x,m-1}(y)|\, dy. \qquad (4.69)
\end{aligned}$$

If we can now show that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n+m-1}} \int\limits_{Q(x,\varepsilon)} |F(y) - P_{x,m-1}(y)|\, dy = 0 \qquad (4.70)$$

for almost all points $x \in S$ with respect to the measure $\mathcal{H}^d$ then (4.68), (4.69), and (4.70) would imply (1.4).

Fix a cube $Q(x,r)$ with $x \in S$ and $r \in (0, \frac{1}{100})$. Arguing as for (3.57), (3.60) and using (4.20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{r^{1-m}}{|Q(x,r)|} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)} |F(y) - P_{x,m-1}(y)|\, dy \le \frac{r^{1-m}}{|Q(x,r)|} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)\cap S} |F(y) - P_{x,m-1}(y)|\, dy + \\
&+ C\frac{r}{|Q(x,r)|} \sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1} \sum_{\substack{\varkappa\in\mathcal{I} \\ Q^*_\varkappa \cap Q(x,r)\neq\emptyset}} |Q_\varkappa| (D^\alpha \boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})^\natural_S(\widetilde{Q}_\varkappa) \\
&+ Cr^{1-m} \sup_{y\in Q(x,r)} \Big| P_{m-1,x}(y) - \int\limits_{Q(x,100r)\cap S} P_{m-1,z}(y)\, d\overline{\mu}_{x,100r}(z) \Big|. \qquad (4.71)
\end{aligned}$$

As we pointed out in the proof of Theorem 3.2

$$\frac{|Q(y,t)\cap S|}{|Q(x,r)|} \le \overline{\mu}_{x,r}(Q(y,t)\cap S)$$

for every $r \in (0,1]$, $y \in Q(x,r)\cap S$ and $t \in (0,r)$.

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{|Q(x,r)|} \int\limits_{Q(x,r)\cap S} |F(y) - P_{x,m-1}(y)|\, dy \le \int\limits_{Q(x,r)\cap S} |F(y) - P_{x,m-1}(y)|\, d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(y) \qquad (4.72)$$

By (4.49), the first (we use (4.72)) and third terms in the right-hand side vanish as $r \to 0$ for almost all points $x \in S$ with respect to the measure $\mathcal{H}^d$. In order to establish the similar property for the second term, we should repeat almost verbatim the arguments of the proof of Theorem 3.2 which followed after the estimate (3.60).

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is complete.

5 Generalizations to the weighted case

In this section we state a weighted analog of Theorem 4.1. We do not give a detailed proof since it repeats that of Theorem 4.1 with minimal adjustments. Indeed, Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 are stated in the weighted case. The technical details specific to the weighted case are the properties of weight mentioned in Remark 2.1 and the "local embedding" $W_p^m(\operatorname{int} Q,\gamma)\subset W_q^m(\operatorname{int} Q)$ valid for every cube Q provided that $1<q<p<\infty$ and $\gamma\in A_{\frac{p}{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Definition 5.1. Take $m\in\mathbb{N}$. Take a closed set S with $\dim_H S\ge d$, where $d\in[0,n]$, and $p\in(\max\{1,n-d\},\infty)$. Assume that $q\in(\max\{1,n-d\},p)$ and $\gamma\in A_{\frac{p}{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Consider the linear space $\mathcal{J}(W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma))|_S$ all Whitney $(m-1)$-fields on S which d-generated by the functions $F\in W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma)\subset W_q^{m,\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The norm in $\mathcal{J}(W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma))|_S$ is given by

$$\|\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}|\mathcal{J}(W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma))|_S\|=\inf\|F|W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma)\|,$$

where the infimum is over all functions $F\in W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma)$ which d-agree with $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S.

For $d\in[0,n]$ take a d-thick closed set S. Assume that $m\in\mathbb{N}$, $p\in(1,\infty)$, $q\in(1,p)$, $\gamma\in A_{\frac{p}{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\lambda\in(0,\frac{1}{10})$. Given a Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S, put

$$\Big(\mathcal{BN}_{p,\gamma,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}]\Big)^p:=$$
$$\sup\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\nu}\gamma(Q_{j,\nu})\sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1}\Big((D^\alpha\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})_S^\natural(Q_{j,\nu})+\int\limits_{Q_{j,\nu}\cap S}|f_\alpha(z)|\,d\overline{\mu}_{Q_{j,\nu}\cap S}(z)\Big)^p,\tag{5.1}$$

where the supremum is over all systems of packings (on S) of λ-porous cubes $\{Q_{j,\nu}\}$.

For $d\in[0,n]$ take a d-thick closed set S. Assume that $p\in(1,\infty)$ and $m\in\mathbb{N}$. For a Whitney $(m-1)$-field $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ on S, put

$$\mathcal{SN}_{p,\gamma}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}]:=\|f|L_p(S,\gamma)\|+\sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1}\|(D^\alpha\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}})_S^\natural|L_p(S,\gamma)\|.\tag{5.2}$$

Finally, under the above assumptions put

$$\mathcal{N}_{p,\gamma,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}]:=\mathcal{SN}_{p,\gamma}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}]+\mathcal{BN}_{p,\gamma,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}].\tag{5.3}$$

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that $m\in\mathbb{N}$, $1<q\le p<\infty$, $\gamma\in A_{\frac{p}{q}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $d\in[0,n]$ with $d>n-q$. Take a d-thick closed subset S of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda\in(0,\frac{1}{10})$. Then $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}\in\mathcal{J}\big(W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma)\big)|_S$ if and only if*

$$\lim_{r\to0}\frac{1}{r^{m-1}}\sup_{y\in Q(x,r)}\int\limits_{Q(x,r)\cap S}\Big|P_{m-1,z}(y)-P_{m-1,x}(y)\Big|\,d\overline{\mu}_{x,r}(z)=0,\quad|\alpha|\le m-1,\quad x\in S',\tag{5.4}$$

for some $S' \subset S$ *with* $\mathcal{H}^d(S \setminus S') = 0$ *and*

$$\mathcal{N}_{p,\gamma,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}] := \mathcal{BN}_{p,\gamma,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}] + \mathcal{SN}_{\gamma,p}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}] < \infty.$$

Furthermore,

$$\mathcal{N}_{p,\gamma,\lambda}[\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}] \sim \|\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}|\mathcal{J}\big(W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma)\big)|_S\|, \tag{5.5}$$

the operator Ext *constructed in* (4.2) *is a bounded linear operator from* $\mathcal{J}\big(W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma)\big)|_S$ *to* $W_p^m(\mathbb{R}^n,\gamma)$*, and the function* F *d-agrees with* $\boldsymbol{P_{m-1}}$ *on* S*.*

The constants of equivalence in (5.5) *and the operator norm of* Ext *depend only on* p*,* n*, and* d*.*

Acknowledgement. The authors wish to acknowledge their indebtedness to Professor P. Shvartsman, who read an early version of the manuscript and made many valuable comments. Based on those, we substantially reworked the paper.

References

[1] D. R. Adams and L. I. Hedberg, *Function Spaces and Potential Theory*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 314, Springer, Berlin, 1996.

[2] Yu. A. Brudnyi and M. I. Ganzburg, On an Extremal Problem for Polynomials in n variables, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 37, 344–355 (1973); English transl.: Math. USSR Izv. 7, 345–356 (1973).

[3] Yu. Brudnyi, P. Shvartsman, Generalizations of Whitney Extension Theorem, Intern. Math. Research Notices, No. 3, (1994) 129–139.

[4] Yu. Brudnyi, P. Shvartsman, The Whitney Problem of Existence of a Linear Extension Operator, J. Geom. Anal., 7, No. 4 (1997) 515–574.

[5] Yu. Brudnyi, P. Shvartsman, Whitney Extension Problem for multivariate $C^{1,\omega}$-functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 353 No. 6, (2001) 2487–2512.

[6] Yu. A. Brudnyi, B. D. Kotljar, A certain problem of combinatorial geometry, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 11 (1970) 1171–1173; English transl.: Siberian Math. J. 11 (1970) 870–871.

[7] A. P. Calderon, Estimates for singular integral operators in terms of maximal functions, Studia Math. 44, 563–582 (1972).

[8] M. Christ, The extension problem for certain function spaces involving fractional orders of differentiability. Arkiv Mat. 22 (1984), 63–81.

[9] R. A. De Vore and R. C. Sharpley, Besov spaces on domains in R^d, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 335, 843–864 (1993).

[10] R. A. De Vore and R. C. Sharpley, Maximal functions measuring smoothness, American Mathematical Society, Memirs of the AMS. vol 47, 293, (1984).

[11] V. L. Dolnikov, The partitioning of families of convex bodies, Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 12 (1971) 664–667 (in Russian); English transl.: Siberian Math. J. 12 (1971) 473–475.

[12] C. Fefferman, A sharp form of Whitney's extension theorem, Ann. of Math. (2), 161 (2005), no. 1, 509–577.

[13] C. Fefferman, A Generalized Sharp Whitney Theorem for Jets, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 21 (2005), no. 2, 577–688.

[14] C. Fefferman, Whitney's Extension Problem for C^m, Ann. of Math. (2), 164 (2006), no. 1, 313–359.

[15] C. Fefferman, C^m Extension by Linear Operators, Ann. of Math. (2) 166 (2007), no. 3, 779–835.

[16] C. Fefferman, Extension of $C^{m,\omega}$-Smooth Functions by Linear Operators, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 25, No. 1, (2009) 1–48.

[17] C. Fefferman, Whitney extension problems and interpolation of data, Bulletin A.M.S. 46, no. 2 (2009) 207–220.

[18] C. Fefferman, The C^m norm of a function with prescribed jets I., Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 26 (2010) 1075–1098.

[19] C. Fefferman, A. Israel and G. K. Luli, Sobolev extension by linear operators, J.Amer.Math.Soc. 27 (2014), 69–145.

[20] C. Fefferman, A. Israel and G. K. Luli, The structure of Sobolev extension operators. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 30 (2014), no. 2, 419–429.

[21] C. Fefferman, A. Israel and G. K. Luli, Fitting a Sobolev function to data I. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 32 (2016), no. 1, 275–376.

[22] C. Fefferman, A. Israel and G. K. Luli, Fitting a Sobolev function to data II. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 32 (2016), no. 2, 649–750.

[23] E. Gagliardo, Caratterizzazioni delle tracce sulla frontiera relative ad alcune classi di funzioni in n variabili, Rend.Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova 27 (1957) 284–305 (in Italian).

[24] G. Glaeser, Etude de quelques algebres Tayloriennes, J. d'Analyse Math. 6 (1958), 1–125.

[25] V. M. Gol'dstein, S. K. Vodop'janov, Prolongement des fonctions de classe L_p^1 et applications quasi conformes., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. A-B 290 (1980), no. 10, 453–456.

[26] V. M. Gol'dstein, S. K. Vodop'janov, Prolongement des fonctions differentiables hors de domaines plans., C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math. 293 (1981), no. 12, 581–584.

[27] P. Hajlasz, Sobolev Spaces on an Arbitrary Metric Space., Potential Analysis 5 (1996) 403–415.

[28] L. Ihnatsyeva and A. V. Vahakangas, Characterization of traces of smooth functions on Ahlfors regular sets, arXiv:1109.2248 (2011)

[29] A. Israel, A Bounded Linear Extension Operator for $L^{2,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, Annals of Mathematics, 171(1)(2013), pp 183–230.

[30] P. W. Jones, Quasiconformal mappings and extendability of functions in Sobolev spaces, Acta Math. 147 (1981), 71–88.

[31] A. Jonsson, The trace of potentials on general sets, Ark. Mat., 17 (1979), 1–18.

[32] A. Jonsson, H. Wallin, Function Spaces on Subsets of R^n, Harwood Acad. Publ., London, 1984, Mathematical Reports, Volume 2, Part 1.

[33] A. Jonsson, Besov spaces on closed subsets of R^n, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 341 (1994) 355–370.

[34] A. Jonsson, Besov spaces on closed sets by means of atomic decomposition, Complex Var. Elliptic Equations, 54:6 (2010), 585–611.

[35] G. A. Kalyabin, Theorems on extension, multipliers and diffeomorphisms for generalized Sobolev–Liouville classes in domains with Lipschitz boundary, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.172, 173–186 (1985); English transl.: Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 172, 191–205 (1987).

[36] P. Koskella, T. Rajala, Y. R. Zhang, A geometric characterization of planar Sobolev extension domains (Long Version), arXiv:1502.04139 (2016).

[37] A. Miyachi. Extension theorems for the function spaces of DeVore and Sharpley. Math. Japonica 38 (1993), 1033–1049.

[38] A. Miyachi. On the extension properties of Triebel–Lizorkin spaces. Hokkaido Math. J. 27 (1998), 273–301.

[39] V.G. Maz'ja, Sobolev spaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985, xix+486 pp.

[40] V. Maz'ya, S. Poborchi, Differentiable Functions on Bad Domains, Word Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 1997.

[41] E. Bierstone, P. Milman, W. Pawlucki, Differentiable functions defined in closed sets. A problem of Whitney. Invent. Math. 151, No. 2, (2003) 329–352.

[42] V. S. Rychkov, Linear extension operators for restrictions of function spaces to irregular open sets, Studia. Math.140, 141–162 (2000).

[43] V. S. Rychkov, Intrinsic characterizations of distribution spaces on domains, Studia Math.127, 277–298 (1998).

[44] V.S. Rychkov, On Restrictions and Extensions of the Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin Spaces with respect to Lipshitz Domains, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 60, No.1, (1999) 237–257.

[45] A. Seeger. A note on Triebel–Lizorkin spaces. In Approximation and Function Spaces, Banach Center Publ. 22, PWN Polish Sci. Publ.,Warsaw, 1989, 391–400.

[46] P. Shvartsman, Local approximations and intrinsic characterizations of spaces of smooth functions on regular subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$, Math. Nachr. 279 (2006), no.11, 1212–1241.

[47] P. Shvartsman, Sobolev W_p^1-spaces on closed subsets of R^n, Advances in Math. 220, No. 6 (2009), 1842–1922.

[48] P. Shvartsman, Extension theorem for a class of spaces defined by local approximations, in: Studies in the Theory of Functions of Several Real Variables,Yaroslavl State University,Yaroslavl, 1978, pp. 215–242.

[49] P. Shvartsman, Extension theorems with preservation of local polynomial approximations, VINITI Publications 8.08.198, No. 6457, Yaroslavl University, Yaroslavl, 1986.

[50] P. Shvartsman, Sobolev L^2_p-functions on closed subsets of $\mathbb{R}^2$, Advances in Math. 252 (2014) 22–113.

[51] P. Shvartsman, Whitney-type extension theorems for jets generated by Sobolev functions, arXiv:1607.01660 (2016).

[52] P. Shvartsman, On the sum of a Sobolev space and a weighted L_p-space, Advances in Math. 248 (2013) 155–228.

[53] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

[54] E. M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.

[55] H. Triebel and H. Winkelvoss, Intrinsic atomic characterizations of function spaces on domains, Math. Z. 221 (1996) 647–673.

[56] B. O. Turesson, *Nonlinear Potential Theory and Weighted Sobolev Spaces*, Springer 2000.

[57] H. Whitney, Analytic extension of differentiable functions defined in closed sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1934), 63–89.

[58] H. Whitney, Differentiable functions defined in closed sets. I., Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1934) 369–387.

[59] N. Zobin, Whitney problem on extendability of functions and an intrinsic metric, Advances in Math. 133 (1998) 96–132.

[60] N. Zobin, Extension of smooth functions from finitely connected planar domains, J. Geom. Anal. 9 (1999), 489–509.

[61] W. P. Ziemer, *Weakly Differentiable Functions: Sobolev Spaces and Functions of Bounded Variation*, Springer, New Orc, 1989.